NRCC

NATIONAL RESOURCE FOR COMPUTATION IN CHEMISTRY

RATMAC Primer

October 1980

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

DISCLAIMER

RATMAC Primer

by

R. J. Munn

J. M. Stewart

A. P. Norden

M. Katherine Pagoaga

Division of Agricultural and Life Sciences
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland   20742

Acknowledgements

This document has been prepared using TEXT, a machine independent document processing system, which is written in RATMAC. TEXT is available from the Computer Science Center, University of Maryland, College Park. The features of TEXT are described in CN-26 which is available from the Program Library at the Computer Science Center.

Printing History

First edition, August 1979

Second edition, October 1980

Disclaimer

The RATMAC preprocessor is based on two programs, RATFOR and MACRO, described in the book Software Tools by B. W. Kernighan and P. J. Plauger (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company). The user of RATMAC is urged to purchase and read this excellent text. In addition to describing the syntax of both RATFOR and MACRO, the book contains many excellent software weapons that should be in any programmer's armory.

RATMAC is a combination of RATFOR and MACRO. Although RATMAC has been exhaustively tested as a unit, no warranty, expressed or implied, is made by the current authors as to the accuracy and functioning of RATMAC, its subprograms, related program material, or operating instructions.

No responsibility is assumed by the authors in connection with the use, attempted use, or application of these programs.

It would be appreciated if acknowledgement of the use of RATMAC be made in published work. The main reference should be to Kernighan and Plauger with a minor acknowledgement to the current authors.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction

FORTRAN is one of the oldest and most widely available high level programming languages. Its popularity as a scientific programming language has meant that its structure has been rather static with dramatic changes occurring only after considerable discussion and thought.

On the other hand, software development, in general, has proceeded rapidly in the last decade and FORTRAN has tended to look increasingly "old fashioned". In particular, it lacks many of the control structures associated with structured programming. A number of attempts have been made to augment the ANSI standard FORTRAN with appropriate control and other structures. The resulting FORTRAN dialects are often called structured or rational FORTRAN.

Structured programming is a style of programming that has become increasingly popular in the last decade. The seminal letter entitled "GO TO statement considered harmful" CACM 11,3 (March 1968) p. 147 by E. W. Dijkstra is considered by many to have been the catalyst of this popularity. By December 1974 ACM Computing Surveys printed a review issue on this subject.

In a narrow sense, structured programming is programming with a limited well-defined set of flow control constructs. Each construct has a single entrance and a single exit. This latter statement means that the GO TO statement, so beloved of FORTRAN devotees, is not among the constructs available to the programmer. This has led to the somewhat simplistic definition of structured programming as "GO TO-less programming".

In a broader sense, structured programming is a discipline. It encourages the programmer to design in a top-down sense with care being taken to modularize and isolate functions. In addition, it promotes well-defined data structures with carefully thought out interfaces between functions.

The net result in all cases is code that is easy to write initially, easy to modify subsequently, and easier for someone unfamiliar with the details of the code to understand. It is hard to give a non-trivial example that illustrates all of these characteristics.

Introduction

The program below, which will be developed later in complete detail, is meant to simulate a basic calculator that has a stack and operates with reverse Polish notation. When presented with a string of operands (real numbers) and (binary) operators, the program evaluates the expression. For example, the string:

3.0 (enter) 2.0 + 10. / =

would produce the result 0.5, i.e. ((3+2)/10=0.5).

The "program" is:

```
get first term in expression            # initialize

WHILE (not the end of expression)       # start WHILE loop
  {
   IF (term is an operand)              # operand?
      push the operand on the stack
   ELSE IF (term is an operator)        # operator?
      {
       IF (too few values on stack)     # error
          output message      ("Poorly formed expression")
       ELSE                             # OK evaluate
          {
           pop operands from stack
           perform operation
           push result onto the stack
          }
      }
   ELSE
      output message                    # error
      ("Illegal item in expression")
   get next term in expression
  }
STOP
```

The structured programming constructs in the above program are the "WHILE" loop, the "IF...ELSE IF...ELSE" decision structures, and the statement block definition braces, {...}.

The single entrance-single exit concept of structured programming is illustrated by the "WHILE" loop. It is entered at a single point; its associated statement block is executed repeatedly so long as the entrance condition remains true. It is exited from a single point when the entrance condition becomes false.

In a similar fashion, the IF...ELSE IF...ELSE chain is entered at the top and control passes down the chain until a true condition is found. The associated statement block is then executed. If no true condition is found, then the statement block associated with the trailing ELSE is executed.

In both constructs, the program flow is "obvious" and well-defined.

The above "program" illustrates the top-down design concept. The problems of how the expression is accessed, how the stack is constructed, and what an output message does have been pushed down to a lower design level. These are details which are not important at the top level; they clutter the design. Of course, they will have to be dealt with eventually. At that point, however, further details of the program may be pushed down to an even lower level. In this way a set of "primitive" functions can often be established that can be used over and over in a variety of programming situations.

Structured FORTRAN dialects, while powerful, have a serious drawback in that they are not transportable directly from machine to machine. To overcome this difficulty, the designer of such a dialect has to supply a processor that will translate the dialect into standard ANSI FORTRAN. In the interests of inter-machine portability, such a processor is written in ANSI FORTRAN. A bootstrap process can then be used to install the processor on any machine with an ANSI FORTRAN compiler.

The use of a structured FORTRAN language obviously involves at least one additional step in the "compilation" process. The structured language has to be translated into ANSI FORTRAN before it can be compiled into machine code.

```
*************                    **************
*           *                    *            *
*  RATMAC   *     RATMAC          *  ANSI      *
*  SOURCE   * --------------->*   FORTRAN     *
*  CODE     *   preprocessor   *             *
*           *                    *            *
*************                    **************
```

This extra step is easily justified. The quality of the code that is written in a structured FORTRAN will, in general, be demonstrably superior to the equivalent code written in standard FORTRAN. By superior, it is meant that the code is easier to write initially, easier to debug,

easier to maintain and document, and is of comparable efficiency. In addition, once a structured FORTRAN language is adopted, the user is not limited to just adding new control structures. Features such as macros and file inclusion can also be added.

One of the most successful structured FORTRAN languages is RATFOR, rational FORTRAN, produced by Brian W. Kernighan and P. J. Plauger. This success can be traced to a number of factors. First, it is a well-defined language which is easy to learn. Second, it has a bootstrap which is easy to implement on any machine with a FORTRAN compiler. Third, it has associated with it the excellent text, Software Tools, by Kernighan and Plauger, which contains an invaluable collection of well-documented programs written in RATFOR.

The language RATMAC is a direct descendant of RATFOR. RATMAC has all of the characteristics of RATFOR, but is augmented by a powerful recursive macro processor which is extremely useful in generating transportable FORTRAN programs. A macro is a collection of programming steps which are associated with a keyword. This keyword uniquely identifies the macro, and whenever it appears in a RATMAC program it is replaced by the collection of steps. This can be a powerful programming tool as will be shown below.

For example, the macro definition:


```
MACRO:(LOGTST:,ASC&XIN<=$1|!ASC&XIN>=$1)
```


can be used in the following fashion:


```
IF(LOGTST:(XTAB(7)))
```


The string LOGTST: is recognized as a macro name, and XTAB(7) is recognized as a macro argument. The net result is LOGTST: is replaced by its definition and $1 is replaced by the first (and only) argument.

The result is equivalent to coding:


```
IF(ASC&XIN<=XTAB(7)|!ASC&XIN>=XTAB(7))
```

or the more standard:

```
IF(ASC.AND.XIN.LE.XTAB(7).OR.
.NOT.ASC.AND.XIN.GE.XTAB(7))
```

It is convenient to consider the RATFOR features of RATMAC separately from the macro features. In fact, one set of features can be used without making use of the other set. In what follows, the enhanced control structures will be dealt with before the macro facilities are considered.

In this primer it is assumed that the reader has made use of a conventional ANSI FORTRAN compiler and is familiar with the syntax of FORTRAN.

Control Structures

Statement Blocks

One characteristic of structured languages is that they contain few statement labels and fewer GO TO's. The absence of such features to group executable statements means that an alternative mechanism must be found. In RATMAC this is accomplished with the use of statement brackets. All statements within a pair of statement brackets are regarded as a single unit. In RATMAC, the statement brackets are left and right braces { }. Unfortunately, not all character sets contain such characters. In such an event, two digraph equivalents $(and $) are used in place of the braces. The following piece of RATMAC code contains two explicit statement groups:

```
{
X=ANS
ANS=0.0                 # zero answer
}
{
X=-17.5*X;  AND=-2.0
}
I=I+1                   # increment counter
```

The code also contains an implied statement block I=I+1. An implied statement block is defined as a single statement not within statement brackets.

In general, angular brackets, < and > will be used to denote syntactic units within RATMAC. In this primer, the construct <statement block> will denote a general statement block.

The above RATMAC code looks much like standard FORTRAN code with minor embellishments. However, some cosmetic features should be mentioned immediately.

1. RATMAC is free form; statements may appear anywhere on an input line.

2. Any line may contain a comment. Any characters following a hash mark, #, are ignored.

3. Multiple statements may apear on a line; a semicolon is used to separate them.

Looping Constructs

RATMAC contains four looping constructs; they are the DO, FOR, WHILE, and REPEAT loops.

DO Loop

The DO loop in RATMAC is very similar to the familiar DO loop of standard FORTRAN. Its syntax is:

```
DO <loop control>
    <statement block>
```

For example, a piece of RATMAC code to sum N numbers stored in array A would be:

```
SUM=0.0                      # initialize sum
DO J=1,N
    SUM=SUM+A(J)             # accumulate sum
```

This code is very reminiscent of the corresponding FORTRAN code, only the DO statement label is missing. The range of the loop is the statement block; in this case, the single statement SUM=SUM+A(J).

Consider now the slightly more complex code for accumulating the sums necessary to do a least squares analysis on two vectors, X and Y, of length N. The RATMAC code would be:

```
SUMX=0.0;  SUMY=0.0;  SUMXY=0.0
SUMX2=0.0;  SUMY2=0.0
DO K=1,N
    {
    SUMX=SUMX+X(K);  SUMY=SUMY+Y(K)
    SUMX2=SUMX2+X(K)*X(K);  SUMY2=SUMY2+Y(K)*Y(K)
    SUMXY=SUMXY+X(K)*Y(K)
    }
```

Here the DO statement block encompasses the five statements delimited by statement brackets.

The RATMAC DO has all of the properties (and deficiencies) of the FORTRAN DO. It will be as general or as limited as the DO allowed by a given FORTRAN compiler. For example, to sum backwards we could write the following code if a negative DO increment is allowed by the compiler:

```
SUM=0.0
DO J=N,1,-1
    SUM=SUM+A(J)
```

If a negative increment is not allowed, RATMAC will process the statement as written but a FORTRAN failure will result.

A very important syntactic restriction concerning RATMAC follows: FORTRAN does not consider the blank character significant. Thus:

```
D    O      J=1,N
```

is equivalent to

```
DOJ=1,N
```

RATMAC is not so permissive. A keyword such as DO must not contain imbedded blanks and it must end in a non-alphabetic character; such as a blank. Thus:

```
DO      J  =   1  ,  N
```

is allowed while

```
DOJ=1,N
```

is not allowed.

Note: The positioning of the statement brackets, {}, following a DO loop structure is restricted. The opening statement bracket may not appear on the same line as the DO command. This restriction is necessary since there is no general way of detecting the end of the <loop control> unit.

FOR Loop

The FOR loop of RATMAC is a powerful looping construct
with none of the limitations associated with the familiar DO
loop. The syntax of the FOR loop is:

    FOR(<initialization>;<condition>;<reinitialization>)
        <statement block>

A simple example that simulates the standard DO statements
first shown above, would be:

    SUM=0.0
    FOR(I=1; I<=N; I=I+1)
        SUM=SUM+A(I)

The loop initialization statement is I=1; the condition
under which the loop is executed is I<=N; and the
reinitialization that is .made at the end of each loop is
I=I+1.

    While this example apparently simulates the equivalent
DO loop, it does not in one extremely important case;
namely, that when N is zero or negative. In such a case the
DO loop is always executed once, whereas the FOR is not
executed at all. In addition, on exiting such a "counting"
FOR loop, the index I is well-defined.

    If the FOR loop were limited to such "counting" loops
it would not represent a significant improvement over the DO
loop.   The power of the FOR loop lies in the fact that the
three   components: <initialization>,   <condition>,   and
<reinitialization> can be anything that the user chooses.
For instance:

    J=NSTART
    FOR(A=-3.5; A<=27.2; A=A+0.1)
        {
        B(J)=A*C(J)
        J=J+1
        }

    As another example, consider the problem of finding the
position of the first and the last non-blank characters in a
line of 80 Al characters. The following RATMAC, used in
conjunction with a FORTRAN 66 compiler, does the trick:

```
INTEGER BLANK,LINE(80),BEGIN,END
DATA BLANK/' '/    # blank A1 character
FOR(BEGIN=1; BEGIN<=80 & LINE(BEGIN)==BLANK;
    BEGIN=BEGIN+1)
    ;                     # empty statement

FOR(END=BEGIN; END<=80 & LINE(END)!=BLANK;
    END=END+1)
    ;
END=END-1          # point END to non-blank
```

A number of points are worthy of note. First, the FOR
statement is not complete on a single line. RATMAC detects
this (it is looking for a balancing right parenthesis) and
takes appropriate action. Second, the condition has become
a complicated logical statement; one part to stop at the end
of the line and the other to stop on an appropriate
character. Third, the statement within the statement block
is empty (signified by the semicolon). Fourth, the logical
operator has been replaced by the following more evocative
representations:

```
&  is  .AND.
== is  .EQ.
>= is  .GE.
>  is  .GT.
<= is  .LE.
<  is  .LT.
!= is  .NE.
!  is  .NOT.
```

Other representations of the remaining logical operators
will appear shortly. It is not, however, mandatory that
these symbols be used instead of the dotted logical
operators of FORTRAN.

The reader should study the above example carefully.
Note that the boundary cases are taken care of quite
naturally; they are the all blank card, BEGIN=81 and END=80
and the card with no blank characters, BEGIN=1 and END=80.

The code, however, does have a flaw. It will fail if
the text on the card contains imbedded blanks. The
following code corrects the flaw, is simpler, and will
execute more quickly:

```
FOR(BEGIN=1; BEGIN<=80 & LINE(BEGIN)==BLANK;
    BEGIN=BEGIN+1)
  ;
FOR(END=80;END>0 & LINE(END)==BLANK;
    END=END-1)
  ;
#
```

While this code works correctly, it still contains a flaw which makes the code non-standard. Can you spot the flaw? Does BEGIN reaching 81 and END reaching 0 cause any problem? What would LINE contain if BEGIN=81 and END=0 after execution?

The problem lies in the logical condition in the FOR loop. ANSI FORTRAN does not specify the order of evaluation of such an expression. Thus, when BEGIN reaches 81 the test LINE(81)==BLANK may be performed before the test BEGIN<=80. Since LINE(81) is not defined, this may lead to program failures on some machines.

Consider now a more realistic problem. LINE(1) through LINE(80) contains a set of A1 characters and we wish to know how many "words" the card contains and where each "word" begins and ends. A "word" is a sequence of non-blank characters.

```
INTEGER BLANK,BEGIN(40),END(40),LINE(80)
INTEGER I,J
DATA BLANK/' '/
BEGIN(1)=1              # initialize first value
FOR(I=1; BEGIN(I)<=80; I=I+1)        # count words
  {
    FOR(J=BEGIN(I); J<=80 & LINE(J)==BLANK;J=J+1)
      ;                 # null
    BEGIN(I)=J          # save start of word
    FOR( ;J<=80 & LINE(J)!=BLANK;J=J+1)
      ;
    END(I)=J-1          # save end of word
    BEGIN(I+1)=J+1      # initialize next cycle
                        # since LINE(J) is blank
                        # we can skip it
  }                     # end of I loop
I=I-1                   # get correct count
```

WHILE Loop

The WHILE loop is related to the FOR loop and each can be defined in terms of the other. The syntax of the WHILE loop is:


```
        WHILE(<condition>)
            <statement block>
```


<statement block> is executed repeatedly while <condition> is true. It is entirely equivalent to the FOR statement:


```
        FOR( ;<condition>; )
            <statement block>
```


Consider the following problem - a file, NFILE, contains an unknown number of card images followed by an end-of-file. We want to count and print the images. The following code using the WHILE construct and designed for FORTRAN 77 will perform the task:


```
        CHARACTER LINE(80)
        INTEGER KNT,NFILE,I,NOUT
        LOGICAL EOF
        DATA KNT/0/,EOF/.FALSE./   # initialize
        DATA NFILE/10/,NOUT/6/     # sample values
        WHILE(!EOF)                # do until EOF flips
            {
            READ(NFILE,1,END=99)(LINE(I),I=1,80) # read line
            1   FORMAT(80A1)
            WRITE(NOUT,2)(LINE(I),I=1,80)   # NOUT is printer
            2   FORMAT(1X,80A1)
            KNT=KNT+1                  # count line
            GO TO 98                   # skip over EOF code
            99  EOF=!EOF               # flip EOF
            WRITE(NOUT,3) NFILE,KNT          # write sign off
            3   FORMAT('0 FILE',I2,'CONTAINS',I5,'LINES')
            98  CONTINUE
            }                                # end WHILE loop
        STOP
        END
```


Some notes: The character ! is the rational representation of the logical operator .NOT.. Statement labels are allowed in RATMAC but are rarely required; FORMAT and the end-of-file statement are notable exceptions. The numbers on a FORMAT or labelled statement may appear anywhere on a line. The label must be terminated by a non-alphanumeric character such as a blank.

REPEAT Loop

The final loop construct in RATMAC is the REPEAT loop which has two variants. The syntax for each is as follows:

```
        REPEAT
          <statement block>
and
        REPEAT
          <statement block>
        UNTIL(<condition>)
```

The former is an "infinite" loop. <statement block> will be executed indefinitely (in practice such an infinite loop will be broken in some manner to be shown later). The latter loop is a conditional loop. It differs from the WHILE loop in that the exiting condition test is made at the end of the loop. The body of a REPEAT loop is always executed at least once.

The previous example can be rewritten using the REPEAT statement.

First, with the "infinite" REPEAT (we do not repeat the initialization code or format statements):

```
        REPEAT          # do forever!
          {
          READ(NFILE,1,END=99)(LINE(I),I=1,80)
          WRITE(NOUT,2)(LINE(I),I=1,80)
          KNT=KNT+1
          GO TO 98
          99 WRITE(NOUT,3) NFILE,KNT
          STOP          # stop infinite loop
          98   CONTINUE
          }               # end REPEAT loop
        END
```

Using the REPEAT...UNTIL code, the program becomes:

```
REPEAT
  {
  READ(NFILE,1,END=99)(LINE(I),I=1,80)
  WRITE(NOUT,2)(LINE(I),I=1,80)
  KNT=KNT+1
  GO TO 98
  99  WRITE(NOUT,3) NFILE,KNT
      EOF=!EOF    # flag end-of-file
  98  CONTINUE
  }
UNTIL(EOF)
STOP
END
```

Modifying Loop Structures

One of the powerful features of top-down structured programming is that control structures have a single entrance and a single exit. This feature makes it extremely easy to follow the flow of control in a program. Occasionally, however, it is convenient to modify a loop structure either by exiting the loop other than by the usual <condition> test or by terminating a particular loop iteration prematurely.

RATMAC provides two commands to do this; they are BREAK and NEXT, respectively. These commands do violence to the basic principles of structured code and should be used sparingly if at all.

BREAK

The BREAK statement causes an immediate exit from a loop. The loop may be a FOR, DO, REPEAT, or WHILE structure. The BREAK exits from a single loop structure; to exit from a series of nested loops, a series of BREAK's, one within the scope of each loop, is required.

NEXT

The NEXT statement causes the current cycle of a loop structure to be terminated and the next one to be initiated. Simplistically, it can be regarded as an immediate transfer to the end of the loop enclosing the command.

Examples of the use of the BREAK and NEXT commands will be deferred until the decision control structures have been introduced.

Decision Control Structures

The basic structure that controls the flow of a   RATMAC
program is the construct:

```
IF (<condition>)
   <true block>
ELSE
   <false block>
```

<condition> is a logical condition that can assume the
values to be true or false. The statement block <true
block> is executed if the condition is true, otherwise the
statement block <false block> is executed. Many varients of
the basic control structure are available. If there is no
´false´ branch to be executed, then the ELSE may be omitted:

```
IF (<condition>)
   <true block>
```

The statement blocks may themselves contain subordinate
control structures. The following construct is a common
one:

```
IF (<condition1>)
   <block1>
ELSE IF (<condition2>)
   <block2>
ELSE IF (<condition3>)
   <block3>
   °
   °
   °
ELSE
   <block>
```

This basic construct can be regarded as a linear multi-way
branch.

Example: Assume once again that if given a line of
alphabetic character the number of letters and digits, the
number of blanks, and the number of other characters is to
be counted. Since it is not desired to be constrained to a
particular character code, the existence of an integer
function, ICHAR, will be assumed. This function returns a
unique integer corresponding to an A1 character.   The
program to do this task in FORTRAN 66 would be:

```
#
#  define storage
#
INTEGER LINE(80)                            #
INTEGER ACHAR,ZCHAR,ZERO,NINE               #
INTEGER KALPHA,KBLANK,KOTHER                #
INTEGER BLANK
DATA KALPHA/0/,KBLANK/0/,KOTHER/0/
DATA BLANK/´ ´/
DATA ACHAR/´A´/,ZCHAR/´Z´/,ZERO/´0´/,NINE/´9´/
FOR (I=1; I<=80; I+I+1)
   IF (LINE(I)==BLANK)                      # blank?
      KBLANK=KBLANK+1
   ELSE IF(ICHAR(LINE(I))>=ICHAR(ACHAR)& # letter or digit?
        ICHAR(LINE(I))<=ICHAR(ZCHAR)|    # | is logical .OR.
        ICHAR(LINE(I))>=ICHAR(ZERO)&
        ICHAR(LINE(I))<=ICHAR(NINE))
      KALPHA=KALPHA+1
   ELSE
      KOTHER=KOTHER+1                          #other
```

The reader may be concerned at this point about the scope of the FOR loop. Are some statement block defining brackets required? The answer is no. From the point of view of RATMAC, the whole IF...ELSE IF...ELSE structure is a single, albeit extended, statement. A second feature concerns the test for the letter/digit type. The condition is an extended one which cannot be completed on a single input line (it could be shortened and made more efficient by defining some auxillary variables). RATMAC is programmed to take care of this and will test for continuation lines. The mechanism RATMAC uses to detect such cases is a balanced parenthesis count. Note that this mechanism only applies to RATMAC statements (i.e. those that contain a RATMAC keyword). Ordinary FORTRAN statements will not be automatically continued. If an ordinary non-RATMAC statement needs to be continued, two mechanisms are provided. The first is that a line ending in a comma is automatically continued; the second mechanism will be discussed when digraphs are introduced.

Now consider the following modification of the basic problem. Suppose the count of blank characters is not to be recorded and, in addition, counting is to stop when a # character is detected in the array LINE. The salient code could be modified as follows:

```
        INTEGER SHARP
        DATA SHARP/´#´/
        FOR (I=1; I<=80; I=I+1)
          IF(LINE(I)==SHARP)          # terminate
             BREAK                     # get out of loop at
          ELSE IF (LINE(I)==BLANK)    # blank
             NEXT              # no need to look at
     #                              this character further
          ELSE IF (   )       # alphanumeric
  o
  o
  o

        ELSE                          # other
```

The BREAK statement is used to prematurely terminate
the FOR loop. The NEXT command is used to skip processing
of an uninteresting character.

The IF...ELSE structure can be ambiguous. Consider the
indented code patterns:


```
        IF (condition1)
           IF (condition2)
              <block2>
        ELSE
              <block3>
```
and
```
        IF (condition1)
           IF (condition2)
              <block2>
           ELSE
              <block3>
```


In the first form, the indentation implies that the
ELSE is associated with the first IF, and in the second one
it implies that the ELSE is associatd with the second IF. A
convention adopted in RATMAC is that in such an ambiguous
situation, an ELSE is associated with the latest unELSE´d
IF.

The various possible outcomes of such a test structure
are:

condition 1	condition 2	Action
true	true	block 2
false	true	no action
true	false	block 3
false	false	no action

Thus, the second indented structure is the correct one as interpreted by the RATMAC pre-processor. Of course, the structure may be made explicit using block delimiter brackets. For example, if structure one is actually the desired structure, the following could be written:

```
IF (condition1)
    {
    IF (condition2)
        <block2>
    }
ELSE
    <block3>
```

Most writers of structured programs believe that statement brackets make the program structure more clear to the original coder and any subsequent readers. The use of statement brackets will avoid ambiguity.

Miscellaneous Features

Character Set

RATMAC is designed to function with the full ASCII character set. It will accept both upper and lower case letters.

The case of the RATFOR keywords: DO, FOR, WHILE, REPEAT, UNTIL, BREAK, NEXT, IF, ELSE, and INCLUDE is unimportant. The letters can be all upper case, all lower case, or any mixture of cases.

The case of the built-in macro names and DEFINE follow a more restrictive set of rules. The letters must be all upper case or all lower case. A case mixture is not allowed.

User defined DEFINE and macro names must be used exactly as they are defined if they are to be recognized.

On a given computer which does not accept all of the ASCII characters it may be necessary to substitute some of the unavailable characters with digraphs. The available digraphs are described below.

Program Format

The input to a RATMAC program is free form. Statements may appear anywhere on an input line and users are encouraged to make use of space and tab characters for structural indentation.

A comment may appear on any line by using the hash, #, mark. The hash mark and the remainder of the input line are ignored by RATMAC. The "C", comment, line should not be used in RATMAC code. It will be mispositioned by RATMAC (i.e. it will start in column 7 as do normal FORTRAN statements).

Blanks <u>are</u> significant in RATMAC. Keywords may <u>not</u>
contain imbedded blanks - they will not be recognized <u>and</u>
they must end with a non-alphabetic character - a blank is
satisfactory for this purpose. This feature may be used to
advantage if a keyword has a meaning to your compiler. For
instance, the word **DEFINE** is used in some FORTRAN
implementations. Writing the word DEFINE as DEF INE will
ensure that RATMAC will <u>not</u> recognize the word but the local
compiler will. RATMAC keywords are reserved; they should
not be used as FORTRAN variables. (It should be noted that
the ANSI FORTRAN standard beyond FORTRAN 77 will probably
make blanks significant).

Running a RATMAC Program

The details of running a RATMAC program are very
machine-dependent and operating system-dependent. Briefly,
RATMAC is expecting its initial input from the system
standard input unit, taken as unit 5 in the distributed
version. Two output streams are produced by RATMAC. The
first, appearing on unit 6, is human readable and contains
echoed input, generated FORTRAN output, and any diagnostic
messages. The second stream, appearing on unit 7 in the
distributed RATMAC, contains the generated FORTRAN code and
can be sent directly to a FORTRAN compiler for compilation.
The logical unit numbers are operating system dependent and
may be different on your system from the standard
distribution system. It is also important to note that the
statements which are passed through to the compiler must
conform to either the FORTRAN 66 or FORTRAN 77 ANSI
standard - whichever is being used on the local machine.

The following is a typical "runstream" on a UNIVAC 1100
operating system:

```
@RUN                         . sign on
@ASG,T OUTRAT,F///256        . output file
@XQT CHIMP*ABS.RATMAC        . execute RATMAC
  .
  .
  . RATMAC code
  .
  .
@EOF
@ADD,E OUTRAT.               . pass generated "runstream"
                             . to system
  .
  . additional commands (if any)
```

RATMAC Generated FORTRAN Code

The FORTRAN code generated by RATMAC is deliberately made unattractive. All non-essential blanks are eliminated from the code. This is done to discourage the user of RATMAC from maintaining the generated FORTRAN rather than the original RATMAC code. Occasionally it becomes necessary to cross-reference the RATMAC code with the generated FORTRAN. RATMAC offers two direct methods of such cross-referencing.

Cross-referencing by Line Number

RATMAC maintains internally a line count on the generated FORTRAN code. This line count is printed on the human readable RATMAC output (assuming the S option is on; see FLAGOFF: and FLAGON: below) at the beginning of each line following the input line number and current brace count. The FORTRAN line count is reset to 1 every time an END statement is encountered. Thus, line number diagnostics from your compiler can be linked directly to the RATMAC listing (the line number may be in error by one or two since RATMAC assumes each line output is FORTRAN code when in fact some may be operating system control lines).

Cross-referencing by Comments

By judicious use of the C option of FLAGON: and FLAGOFF: (see below) the user is able to insert all non-empty RATMAC comments into the generated FORTRAN code.

FORTRAN Generated by RATMAC Constructs

The final non-direct method of cross-referencing RATMAC and its output is by direct "reading" of the FORTRAN output. The following summary shows the code that is

generated by RATMAC to emulate its control structures. L, L1, L2, . . . etc. are sequential labels generated internally by RATMAC.

Note that RATMAC may, on occasion, eliminate unnecessary CONTINUE statements. This is done when RATMAC can detect the formation of "dead" or unreachable code.

DO Statement


```
DO <limits statement>
    <statement block>
```

becomes:

```
DO L <limits statement>
        <statement block>
L    CONTINUE
L1   CONTINUE
```


FOR Statement


```
FOR (<initialization>;<condition>;<reinitialization>)
        <statement block>
```

becomes:
```
     CONTINUE
     <initialization>
L    IF(.NOT.(<condition>)) GO TO L2
        <statement block>
L1   GO TO L
L2   CONTINUE
```


WHILE Statement


```
WHILE (<condition>)
        <statement block>
```

becomes:

```
        CONTINUE
    L   IF(.NOT.(<condition>)) GO TO L1
          <statement block>
        GO TO L
    L1  CONTINUE
```

REPEAT Statement

```
    REPEAT
          <statement block>
```

becomes:

```
        CONTINUE
    L   CONTINUE
          <statement block>
    L1  GO TO L
    L2  CONTINUE
```

REPEAT...UNTIL Statement

```
    REPEAT
      <statement block>
    UNTIL (<condition>)
```

becomes:

```
        CONTINUE
    L   CONTINUE
          <statement block>
    L1  IF(.NOT.(<condition>)) GO TO L
    L2  CONTINUE
```

NEXT and BREAK Statements

The NEXT statement generates a GO TO label where label
is the generated statement number immediately following the
<statement block> in a DO, FOR, WHILE, or REPEAT loop.

The BREAK statement generates a GO TO label where label is the generated statement number immediately after the loop structure.

The following shows the NEXT and BREAK statements in a REPEAT...UNTIL loop:

```
        REPEAT
           {
           <statement block 1>
           NEXT
           <statement block 2>
           BREAK
           <statement block 3>
           }
        UNTIL (<condition>)
becomes:
        CONTINUE
     L  CONTINUE
           <statement block 1>
        GO TO L1
           <statement block 2>
        GO TO L2
           <statement block 3>
     L1 IF(.NOT.(<condition>)) GO TO L
     L2 CONTINUE
```

IF Statement

```
        IF(<condition>)
           <statement block>
becomes:
        IF(.NOT.(<condition>)) GO TO L
           <statement block>
     L  CONTINUE
```

IF...ELSE Statement

```
        IF(<condition>)
           <true statement block>
        ELSE
           <false statement block>
becomes:
        IF(.NOT.(<condition>)) GO TO L
           <true statement block>
```

```
      GO TO L1
L     CONTINUE
          <false statement block>
L1    CONTINUE
```

Reserved Characters in RATMAC

RATMAC makes extensive use of special characters. The meaning of the special characters may be overridden or avoided by using the digraph equivalents (see below).

The following is a complete list of reserved special characters:

\# hash mark: used to start a RATMAC comment

; semi-colon: used   to   separate   multiple   RATMAC
               statements

: colon: used to terminate a macro name

> greater: used in a relational operator > or >=

< less: used in a relational operator < or <=

! exclamation point: used as logical negation in !=
                      (.NE.) or ! (.NOT.)

^ caret: same meaning as !

\ backslash: used as a logical .OR. operator

| bar: same meaning as \

& ampersand: used as a logical .AND. operator

" double quote: used to delimit a Hollerith string that
                 is subject to DEFINE and MACRO expansion

' single quote: used to delimit a Hollerith string that
                 is   not subject to DEFINE or MACRO
                 expansion

[ ] square brackets: used to protect a string from MACRO
                      or DEFINE examination

{ } curly brackets: used to define a statement block

Digraphs

Character set limitations on many computers demand the introduction of digraphs. A digraph consists of an "escape" character followed by the digraph identification character. The dollar sign, $, is the RATMAC escape character. The set of digraph characters available in RATMAC can conveniently be divided into two distinct groups:

Group 1: Digraphs for characters with a special meaning in RATMAC. Use of a digraph from this group override the usual RATMAC interpretation of the digraph symbol (i.e. > is not translated to .GT.).

Diagraph	Output Character
$>	>
$<	<
$:	:
$$	$
$(	{
$)	}
$,	'
$L	(
$N	used internally
$R	)
$S	used internally
$[	[
$]	]
$´	´

Group 2: Digraphs for statement editing

Digraph   Meaning

$P   Position next output character
            in position 1 of output line

$B   An essential blank in an output line

$#   Treat the next line as a continuation
            of the current line

Quoted Strings in RATMAC

       RATMAC   allows the user to use quoted string constants.
These constants are often used in statements such as:

DATA X/´X´/

Because certain   FORTRAN   66   dialects   use   different
punctuation a "Q" option is provided to automatically
process quoted strings. If the Q option is switched off
using the FLAGOFF: macro, then quoted strings are converted
automatically to the usual Hollerith form nH....

       The quotes used to delimit a string constant may be
either a single quote or a double quote. On output the
double quoted form will be converted to the single quote
form.   The single and double quote form differ in another
very significant way. The text string with single quotes is
never scanned for macro or defines while a text string with
double quotes is scanned for macro and defines.

For example:

macro:(MADXIM:,100)

´Maximum dimension is MAXDIM:´

is output intact, whereas

"Maximum dimension is MAXDIM:"

is output as

´Maximum dimension is 100´

A quoted string constant may not be continued onto a
second line. If a matching quote is not found on the
initial line, RATMAC supplies one automatically.

This ends the description of the elementary command
syntax of RATMAC. The examples at the end of the primer
contain some actual subroutines written in RATMAC. The next
section of the primer is concerned with the string
manipulation features of RATMAC, DEFINE, and MACRO.

File Inclusion

It is quite common in large programs for certain blocks of code to appear in a number of places. COMMON blocks are an obvious example. RATMAC provides a mechanism, the INCLUDE command, for including such blocks of code with a single command. This shortens a program and also makes its maintenance easier. The mechanism for implementing the INCLUDE command is very machine-dependent. The machine-independent version of RATMAC implements the command with the following syntax:

INCLUDE <logical unit number of file>

When an INCLUDE command is met, the RATMAC input unit is switched from its current unit to the unit specified by <logical unit number of file>. Subsequent input is taken from this file until an end-of-file is detected; input then reverts to the original input unit at the next line. A file is rewound after it has been read so that it may be INCLUDE´d many times.

INCLUDE´d files may include the INCLUDE command up to a depth of five. Recursive use of this feature is not allowed.

It is the user´s responsibility to assure that the logical units specified are available to RATMAC and that they contain the appropriate information.

Symbolic Constants

The user of RATMAC is encouraged to make extensive use of the symbolic constant feature. Two such features are available - DEFINE and MACRO:. DEFINE is simplistic and less efficient to use than MACRO:. It is included mainly to make RATMAC and RATFOR completely compatible. Users are encouraged to make use of the MACRO: facility described later. It will accomplish exactly the same function as the DEFINE and more, but, at a lower preprocessing overhead.

The syntax of the DEFINE command is:

    DEFINE(<name>,<arbitrary string>)

<name> is an identifier (of up to 132 characters) containing letters and digits. <arbitrary string> is a string of arbitrary characters. For example:

    DEFINE(EOF,-1)
    DEFINE(BITSPERWORD,36)
    DEFINE(VERSION,PDP)
    DEFINE(MAXDIM,2000)
    DEFINE(BLANK,´ ´)

The aim in all cases is to replace an obscure or machine dependent string by a more evocative one. In addition, the value of a string may be localized in a single place where it is easily changed. For example, if at some time in the future the end-of-file flag had to be changed to 128, then the following change in the DEFINE command would imply a global change throughout the program:

    DEFINE(EOF,128)

The change would be accomplished simply by rerunning the program through the RATMAC pre-processor, followed by recompilation.

A DEFINE command may refer to another defined string. This is often a useful feature, but it has a number of pitfalls including the introduction of an unintended recursion. Consider the following two DEFINE commands followed by the invocation of "one":

```
DEFINE(one, the number before two)
DEFINE(two, the number after one)
```

A token is a string of letters/digits or a special character such as a blank. The token "one" is recognized as a "defined name" and its definition is substituted. The definition string is then subjected to analysis and the token "two" is found. This in turn is recognized as a "defined name" and the definition fetched and scanned. The name "one" is found and the process repeats forever.

Another difficulty arises when an attempt is made to try to redefine a name in a DEFINE command. For example, if ARGFLAG is defined as follows:

```
DEFINE(ARGFLAG,$)
```

and subsequently an attempt is made to redefine it with the DEFINE:

```
DEFINE(ARGFLAG,!)
```

then the syntax of the DEFINE command is violated. In the second DEFINE, ARGFLAG is recognized as a "defined token" and replaced by its definition, $. Thus, the net effect is to construct a DEFINE command:

```
DEFINE($,!)
```

which is illegal since $ is not an alphanumeric token.

To overcome this problem, RATMAC uses the character pair [and] as string protection brackets. A string of characters placed in square brackets is not scanned for defined tokens (nor macro tokens, see below). However, the outer level of square brackets is stripped during the scan.

Consider the DEFINE command:

```
DEFINE(title, The Wizard of Id)
```

Then the string:

The [title] is title

would result in:

The title is The Wizard of Id

Obviously we can use the string protection brackets when we
define a name. Thus:

DEFINE([ARGFLAG],!)

will produce a redefinition of ARGFLAG, changing it from $
to ! without producing an error message.

Macros

The MACRO: feature provided in RATMAC has all of the features of the DEFINE command plus many more. The basic syntax of the MACRO: definition command is:

MACRO:(<macro name>,<macro definition>)

where <macro name> is an alphanumeric token which is terminated by a colon and <macro definition> is an arbitrary string of characters. An underline character is considered to be an alphanumeric character if it appears in a macro name. The following are examples of macros:


```
MACRO:(EOF:,-1)
MACRO:(CHARS_PER_WORD:,4)
```


A macro is invoked by using its name at some appropriate point in a RATMAC program. The macro name is then replaced by the definition string in the FORTRAN output

So far, the MACRO command and the DEFINE command have identical properties. The MACRO command in practice is more efficient since macro names are easily recognized and non-macro names need not be looked for in RATMAC's internal macro tables. Once a DEFINE command is processed by RATMAC, the pre-processor is forced to look up every variable name in its internal tables. This is a time consuming process in a long program with many variables.

The threefold power of the macro facility is:

1. Arguments - a macro name may have associated arguments.

2. Built-in macros - RATMAC has several very useful built-in macros.

3. Recursion - a macro may invoke other macros including itself.

Macro Arguments

The arguments of a MACRO are represented by the combination $n where n is an integer between 1 and 9. Consider the MACRO definition:

MACRO:(READLINE:,READ($1,$2,END=$4)$3)

The invocation in a RATMAC program:

READLINE:(5,10,BUFFER,999)

will producd the FORTRAN code:

READ(5,10,END=999)BUFFER

The MACRO definition:

MACRO:(MAX:,MAX0($1,$2))

followed by:

MAX:(I+J,J-K+1)

produces:

MAX0(I+J,J-K+1)

Built-in Macros

RATMAC comes with a number of built-in macros which have proven very useful in the preparation of programs. User defined macros are such an important feature of RATMAC that another section of the primer is devoted to their preparation and use.

Defining and Deleting

MACRO:, XMACRO:, and SAVE:

MACRO: has been discussed in its simplest form previously. XMACRO: is used to delete a macro from the internal tables within the RATMAC pre-processor. The syntax of XMACRO: is:

        XMACRO:([<macro name>])

The string protection brackets are not strictly part of the syntax, but in most cases are necessary to prevent the macro <macro name> from being evaluated (i.e. being replaced by its definition).

The macro SAVE: is used to save all macros defined before and including the one named as an argument. All macros defined after the macro named as an argument are deleted. The syntax of SAVE: is as follows:

        SAVE:([<macro name>])

This macro is useful in returning a set of RATMAC code to some "base" level after temporary macros have been defined. For example, consider the following:

```
MACRO:(.......)
MACRO:(LAST_PERMANENT_MACRO:,) #
  .
  .
additional code and temporary macros
  .
  .
SAVE:([LAST_PERMANENT_MACRO:]) # delete all temporary macros
```

Such constructs are useful in co-operative programming efforts since temporary macros can be made "local" to a piece of code with little effort on the user's part.

Arithmetic

INCR:

Another pair of macros, INCR: and ARITH:, are used to provide a simple integer arithmetic facility. The macro INCR: has a single numeric argument. On invocation the macro is replaced by the argument incremented by one. For example, suppose we have the following sequence:

```
MACRO:(MAXCARD:,80)  # size of input line
INTEGER LINE(INCR:(MAXCARD:))
```

The second line of RATMAC produces:

```
INTEGER LINE(81)
```

A non-numeric argument is taken to be zero.

ARITH:

The ARITH: macro provides a more sophisticated facility; it can perform addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and exponentiation on integer operands. The syntax of ARITH: is:

```
ARITH:(<operand>,<operator>,<operand>)
```

where <operator> is +, -, *, /, or **. As an example, suppose we have two macros:

```
        MACRO:(BITSPERWORD:,36)     # UNIVAC word
        MACRO:(BITSPERCHAR:,9)      # ASCII code
```

then

```
    MACRO:(CHARSPERWORD:,ARITH:(BITSPERWORD:,/,BITSPERCHAR:))
```

followed by the invocation:

```
        INTEGER UNPACK(CHARSPERWORD:)
```

would produce:

```
        INTEGER UNPACK(4)
```

Character String Manipulation

LENSTR:

RATMAC provides five string manipulation macros. The simplest, LENSTR:(<string>), returns the length, in characters, of the string, <string>. Thus:

```
        LENSTR:(abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz)
```

has a replacement value of 26.

SUBSTR:

The macro SUBSTR: is a substring selection macro. Its syntax is:

SUBSTR:(<string>,<start>,<length>)

where <string> is the string to be selected and <start> is the starting character position in the string. The character positions are numbered 1,2,... from left to right. <length> specifies the number of characters to be extracted. For example:

SUBSTR:(1234567890,3,5)

is the string 34567.

SUBSTR: is quite permissive in its arguments. If <length> is omitted (together with the preceding comma) or if it is too big, the rest of the string is used. If <start> is out of range in the string, then the null string is returned.

IFELSE:

The macro IFELSE: is a string selector macro; it has four arguments. Its syntax is:

IFELSE:(<stringA>,<stringB>,<stringC>,<stringD>)

If <stringA> and <stringB> are identical, character for character, then <stringC> is substituted for the macro invocation. If they are not identical, then <stringD> is substituted.

In the following example, a macro, CHPW:, is set to 1 or 4 depending on the definition of a macro, PACKING:

```
MACRO:(PACKING:,YES)                    # turn on packing
MACRO:(CHPW:,IFELSE:(PACKING:,YES,4,1)) # select
```

CHR: and ORD:

The built-in macros, CHR: and ORD:, have the following syntax:

CHR:(<decimal ASCII code value>)

ORD:(<ASCII character>)

The two macros are used for conversion between the graphic representation of an ASCII character and its decimal numeric equivalent. For example:

CHR:(32) is replaced by a blank

ORD:(a) is replaced by 97

Preprocessor Control

FLAGON: and FLAGOFF:

Two related macros are FLAGON: and FLAGOFF:. The argument for both macros is a single upper case letter. These two macros are used to control the mode of operation of RATMAC. The letters and their meanings when used in FLAGON:(<letter>) are:

A   Do not pass generated FORTRAN directly to the compiler (this option may not be implemented on all machines).

C   Pass non-empty RATMAC comments to the output FORTRAN as standard "C" comments.

D   Print out a line-by-line description of each macro as it is evaluated.

L   List the generated FORTRAN output on the standard output unit.

M   List all of the currently defined macros (with their definitions) at run termination.

Q   Do not translate quoted strings ´...´ or "..." to the Hollerith form, nH....

R   Pass all code through the RATFOR section of RATMAC unchanged.

S   List the input lines on the standard output unit. Each line is preceded by a line number, a statement block bracket count, and a generated FORTRAN line number.

Initially, flags Q, R, and S are turned on. The R flag is useful for taking advantage of the macro feature of RATMAC without adopting the RATFOR control structures. With the R option turned off, lines of FORTRAN are passed directly to the output file after the expansion of any macros in the line. This is also useful for passing FORTRAN statements, unchanged, through the RATMAC preprocessor.

An Alternative INCLUDE Mechanism

The INCLUDE mechanism may or may not be implementable on your machine in a convenient way. This section deals with achieving the same effect using the MACRO: command. Consider the following MACRO: command:


```
MACRO:(SYSCOM:,COMMON/SYSBLK/A(MAX),B(MAXP1) #
COMPLEX A #
DOUBLE PRECISION B) #
```


The COMMON block can be inserted anywhere in the FORTRAN code by invoking the name SYSCOM: in the RATMAC code.

The only limitation imposed on this use of MACRO: is the RATMAC-imposed limit on the length of the defined string (250 characters in the distributed version). However, this limitation is easily avoided by the use of string protection brackets. Consider the following three MACRO: commands:


```
MACRO:(SYSCOMPART1:,COMMON/SYSBLK/A(MAX),B(MAXP1)) #
MACRO:(SYSCOMPART2:,COMPLEX A #
DOUBLE PRECISION B) #
MACRO:(SYSCOM:,[SYSCOMPART1: SYSCOMPART2:]) #
```


Invocation of SYSCOM: produces exactly he same results as before, but the definitions are split among three MACRO:'s making available 750 (3 X 250) characters of definition. The interested reader should implement the above MACRO:'s with and without the string protection brackets in the last MACRO:. With no string protection brackets, an error message results. In this example the # which indicates the end of the line is important since all the blanks at the right hand end of the lines are stored. Leaving the # off will cause the 750 characters available to be used up very quickly.

Macros Within Macros

The Non-recursive Case

Macros may contain other macros as part of their definition. A question that immediately arises is: At what stage is a macro evaluated? Consider the following sequence of macros and an invocation:

```
MACRO:(S1:,ABCDE)
MACRO:(S2:,S1:FGHIJ)
MACRO:([S1:],VWXYZ)

S2:
```

This produces the string ABCDEFGHIJ. The macro S1: associated with the definition of S2: is evaluated immediately and the subsequent redefinition of S1: has no effect on S2:

Compare this with the following sequence:

```
MACRO:(S1:,ABCDE)
MACRO:(S2:,[S1:]FGHIJ)
MACRO:([S1:],VWXYZ)
```

followed by the invocation S2:. The result now is the string VWXYZFGHIJ. When S2: is invoked, the definition string found is S1:FGHIJ (remember a layer of string protection brackets is stripped each time a protected string is examined). The token S1: is recognized as a macro and the current definition (i.e. VWXYZ) is substituted.

String protection brackets are useful in many circumstances. They can be used to conserve space in the macro tables, stave off evaluation of a macro, and prevent evaluation of a macro whose arguments are defined by the arguments on an outer macro.

For example, in the first definition of S2: above, the definition string is 10 characters long, ABCDEFGHIJ. In the second definition of S2:, the definition string is only 8 characters long, S1:FGHIJ.

Consider the following macros which can be used to change a dimension on an array depending on whether PACKING: is defined as YES or otherwise.

```
MACRO:(PACKING:,YES)     # turn on packing
MACRO:(CHPW:,IFELSE:(PACKING:,YES,4,1))
MACRO:(DIM:,ARITH:(ARITH:(ARITH:($1,-,1),/,CHPW:),+,1))
```

The RATMAC statement:

```
INTEGER LINE(DIM:(80))
```

might be expected to produce:

```
INTEGER LINE(20)
```

In fact, it produces the unwanted:

```
INTEGER LINE(1)
```

The reason for this lies in the macro invocation:

```
ARITH:($1,-,1)
```

The first argument is not defined (it will be when DIM: is invoked), so zero is used.

The problem is easily corrected by using string protection brackets:

```
MACRO:(DIM:,[ARITH:(ARITH:(ARITH:($1,-,1),/,CHPW:),+,1)])
```

In this case, the arithmetic will not be performed until DIM: is invoked; at which time $1 is well-defined so that INTEGER LINE(20) will be produced.

The Recursive Case

Macros may invoke themselves recursively. In this case, string protection brackets are mandatory. For example, consider the following macro to generate the factorial of a number. The macro makes use of the relationship:

factorial(n) = n*factorial(n-1)

and

factorial(0) = 1

Recursion is terminated when factorial(0) is reached. In a macro, the recursion is terminated by the IFELSE: macro. The following definitions perform the evaluation:

```
MACRO:(M:,[ARITH:($1,*,$2)])        # define some auxilliary
MACRO:(D:,[ARITH:($1,-,1)])         # macros
MACRO:(FACTORIAL:,[IFELSE:($1,0,1,[M:($1,FACTORIAL:(D:($1)))])])
```

The outer set of string protection brackets is required to prevent the evaluation of the IFELSE: when FACTORIAL: is defined. The inner set is required to prevent the evaluation of M:($1,FACTORIAL:(D:($1))) when the IFELSE: is being evaluated at invocation time.

Macros calling other macros tend to be complex. Errors most frequently encountered involve unbalanced brackets and parentheses. Debugging of macros may be most easily undertaken using the D option with the FLAGON: macro. This option prints out the macro evaluation stack as each closing parenthesis on a macro invocation is detected. The printout gives the macro definition, followed by the name, followed by the macro arguments; one to a line.

The form of macro arguments is quite permissive. However, a number of problems can arise if the argument contains certain special characters. An argument containing a comma, an unbalanced parenthesis, or a square bracket, interferes with the macro scanning mechanism.

A comma used as an argument character can be distinguished from an argument delimiting comma by placing the former in string protection brackets. For example, the macro call:

        ZAP:(A[,]B)

has a single argument A,B. An alternative solution would be to use the appropriate digraph:

        ZAP:(A$,B)

Note that only commas not in balanced parentheses need be treated in this fashion. For example:

        ZAP:(A(I,J))

has a single argument: A(I,J)

        Unbalanced parentheses can also use the digraph mechanism. For example, to supply a left parenthesis as an argument, we could write:

        ZAP:($L)

Some Examples of Macros

This section discusses a series of macros that can be used to isolate the machine-dependence of a given program.

The macro IFDEF: is a three argument macro. The first argument is a macro name (less the terminating colon). If the macro name is currently defined, then the macro is replaced by argument two; otherwise, by argument three.

For example, the invocation:

        IFDEF:(MACRO,YES,NO)

results in YES (assuming the system macro, MACRO: has not been deleted).

The macro IFDEF: works by comparing the macro name and its definition using IFELSE:. If the macro is defined, the name and definition will be different. If the macro is not defined, no substitution will occur, and the name and its ´definition´ will be the same. The macro definition is:

        MACRO:(IFDEF:,[IFELSE:([$1:],$1:,$3,$2)])

The next example concerns the generation of a sequence of numbers given a "seed" number. Such a macro is useful for generating unique statement labels.

Assume that a macro, SEED:, is available which will supply a seed integer to start a sequence:

        MACRO:(SEED:,2000)

The macro MAKENUM: is now defined in the following way. A new macro SEED: is defined with a value which is the current value of SEED: reduced by the value of the argument. This new macro SEED: is the invoked to produce the number. The definition is:

    MACRO:(MAKENUM:,[MACRO:([SEED:],ARITH:(SEED:,-,$1))SEED:])

Some Examples of Macros

The invocation:

        MAKENUM:(2)

produces:

        1998

A subsequent invocation:

        MAKENUM:(5)

produces:

        1993

        We can now use MAKENUM: and SEED: to produce a macro
that will generate an error message through a macro
invocation:

        MESSAGE:(LUN,THIS IS A MESSAGE ON LOGICAL UNIT LUN)

The first argument will be the logical unit, the second is
the message string.

The definition of macro MESSAGE: is:

        MACRO:(MESSAGE:,[WRITE($1,MAKENUM:(1))
        SEED: FORMAT(1X,"$2")])

Assuming a value of SEED: equal to 2000 when MESSAGE: is
invoked, the following code will be produced:

        WRITE(LUN,1999)
 1999   FORMAT(1X,´THIS IS A MESSAGE ON LOGICAL UNIT LUN´)

Macro definitions can be cascaded easily. For example,
suppose a macro FATALMESSAGE: is needed. It is to have the
same characteristics as MESSAGE: except that it is
terminal. A suitable definition of FATALMESSAGE: would be:

    MACRO:(FATALMESSAGE:,[$(MESSAGE:($1,$2);CALL EXIT$)])

The invocation:

```
        FATALMESSAGE:(10,FATAL ERROR - STACK OVERFLOW)
```

produces:

```
        $(
        WRITE(10,1998)
  1998  FORMAT(1X,´FATAL ERROR - STACK OVERFLOW´)
        CALL EXIT
        $)
```

The reader should note carefully the inclusion of the statement block brackets in the definition string. A macro invocation may occur as part of a control structure and it is then important that all of the code lines generated by the macro be included in the scope of the control structure.

Another use of a macro is in extending the commands of the basic language. For example, a number of rational FORTRAN dialects have a feature called procedures. A procedure is a block of code that is internal to a subroutine and can be executed repeatedly from various points within the subroutine. There is no mechanism for passing arguments to a procedure. Three macros called EXECUTE:, STARTPROC:, and ENDPROC: will be developed which will simulate the built-in procedure mechanism available in other structured FORTRAN languages.

The basic mechanism to be used is the following: The EXECUTE: macro will generate an ASSIGN label to variable statement, an unconditional jump to the procedure and a labelled CONTINUE for the return from the procedure. STARTPROC: will generate a labelled CONTINUE as the entry point of the procedure. ENDPROC: will generate a GO TO based on the ASSIGN´d jump variable. Of course, it is desirable to have EXECUTE: statements which can be nested.

The preceding statements gloss over problems of how unique labels are generated and how to keep track of these labels. Before dealing with such problems, the use of procedures in generating easily understood and maintained programs will be demonstrated.

The problem chosen is the simulation of a calculator that operates using reverse Polish notation. It is assumed that an array of integer codes which define the expression

to be evaluated is available. Eight integer codes are
required. The following table gives the meaning of each
code:

MACRO:	CODE	MEANING
OP:	0	operand is in corresponding position of array REANUM
SUB:	1	binary subtraction
ADD:	2	binary addition
MUL:	3	binary multiplication
DIV:	4	binary division
EXP:	5	exponentiation
ASG:	6	assignment – display result
EOL:	7	end of expression

The basic structure of the program is very easy to write.
It is:

```
WHILE(NOT END OF EXPRESSION)
    $(
    IF(OPERAND)
        PUSH ON STACK
    ELSE IF (OPERATOR)
        $(
        POP OPERANDS FROM STACK
        DO OPERATION
        PUSH RESULT ON STACK
        $)
    ELSE
        ERROR
    GET NEXT PROGRAM UNIT
    $)
```

It is trivial to translate the program into RATMAC assuming
that the EXECUTE: macro is available. The translated
program is:

```
WHILE(PRGSTR(PTR)!=EOL:)
    $(
    IF(PRGSTR(PTR)==OP:)          # operand
        $(
        EXECUTE:(PUSHONSTACK)
        $)
    ELSE IF(PRGSTR(PTR)>=SUB:&PRGSTR(PTR)<=EXP:)
        $(
        EXECUTE:(POPOFFSTACK)
        OP2=OP
        EXECUTE:(POPOFFSTACK)
        OP1=OP
        EXECUTE:(DOOPER)
        EXECUTE:(PUSHONSTACK)
        $)
    ELSE IF(PRGSTR(PTR)==ASG:)
        $(
        WRITE(STDOUT:,1)STACK(NSTK)
        1   FORMAT(´ CURRENT VALUE´,G12.6)
        $)
    ELSE
        $(
        MESSAGE:(STDOUT:,ILLEGAL ITEM IN STRING)
        $)
    PTR=PTR+1
    $)
```

The overall structure of the program is relatively clear since it follows the general outline closely. It is obvious that the program is in the array PRGSTR and the current "operation" is pointed to by an index PTR. The following assumption in the operator test has been made: a single range test will identify an operator. This obviously limits the integer values that can be assigned to represent operators.

With the basic "top" level of the program developed, the development of the three procedures required to implement the nitty-gritty operations can now proceed. Some conventions must be adopted. STACK is assumed to be a real array and NSTK is assumed to be an integer variable. STACK will be used to hold intermediate results and NSTK will record the position of the last entry in the stack. Initially NSTK is zero. The three procedures can be written as follows:

First, "PUSHONSTACK"

```
STARTPROC:(PUSHONSTACK)
    NSTK=NSTK+1              # increment stack pointer
    STACK(NSTK)=REANUM(PTR)  # get operand
ENDPROC:(PUSHONSTACK)
```

Some Examples of Macros

Second, "POPOFFSTACK"

```
            STARTPROC: (POPOFFSTACK)
                IF(NSTK>0)              # enough operands?
                    $(
                    OP=STACK(NSTK)
                    NSTK=NSTK-1
                    $)
                ELSE                    # no - error off with message
                    $(
                    FATALMESSAGE: (STDOUT:,ILL-FORMED EXPRESSION)
                    $)
            ENDPROC: (POPOFFSTACK)
```


Third, "DOOPER"

```
            STARTPROC: (DOOPER)
                IF (PRGSTR(PTR)==SUB:)
                    REANUM(PTR)=OP1-OP2
                ELSE IF(PRGSTR(PTR)==ADD:)
                    REANUM(PTR)=OP1+OP2
                ELSE IF(PRGSTR(PTR)==MUL:)
                    REANUM(PTR)=OP1*OP2
                ELSE IF(PRGSTR(PTR)==DIV:)
                    $(
                    IF(OP2==0.0)
                        $(
                        FATALMESSAGE:STDOUT:,DIVISION BY ZERO)
                        $)
                    ELSE
                        REANUM(PTR)=OP1/OP2
                    $)
                ELSE IF(PRGSTR(PTR)==EXP:)
                    $(
                    IF(OP1<=0.0)
                        $(
                        FATALMESSAGE: (STDOUT:,EXPONENTIATION ERR)
                        $)
                    ELSE
                        REANUM(PTR)=OP1**OP2
                    $)
                ELSE            # this cannot happen
                    $(
                    FATALMESSAGE: (STDOUT:,SOMETHING WRONG)
                    $)
            ENDPROC: (DOOPER)
```


This completes the program. The procedure mechanism has
allowed a fairly complex piece of code to be broken up into
four blocks, each one assigned a specific task. Of course,
this could be done by using appropriately defined
subroutines. But, the procedures mechanism avoids the
overhead of a subroutine call and localizes all of the code
in one routine.

A final point remains; the placement of the procedure code. Any convenient unreachable part of the code is acceptable. The most obvious place in a routine is between the RETURN or STOP statement and the END statement. The procedure must be defined <u>after</u> the first EXECUTE: invocation. The order in which the procedures are placed is also important. The ENDPROC: macro deletes macros associated with the procedure so it cannot be referenced by a subsequent EXECUTE:. Thus if a procedure executes another procedure, the "calling" procedure must be defined before the "called" procedure.

Having written the program, the only remaining unwritten pieces are the macros required to implement the mechanism. The definition of EXECUTE: is:


```
MACRO:(EXECUTE:,[IFDEF:($1,,[[MACRO:([$1:],MAKENUM:(1))]])$#
ASSIGN MAKENUM:(1: TO J $1:; GOTO $1:; SEED: CONTINUE])
```


Although this macro looks formidable, it is relatively simple in operation. The first line checks to see whether a macro corresponding to the procedure name already exists. If the macro exists, nothing happens. If if does not, a label is generated using MAKENUM: and is saved by defining a macro based on the procedure name.

The second line produces a second statement label and generates an ASSIGN´d GO TO, followed by an unconditional transfer, followed by a labelled CONTINUE. Assuming a SEED: value of 2000, the invocation:


```
        EXECUTE:(PROC)
```


would generate:


```
        ASSIGN 1998 TO J1999
        GOTO 1999
   1998 CONTINUE
```


and would define a macro PROC: with a definition 1999. Note that it has been assumed that the user is not currently using a variable J1999 in the program. By defining the prefix letter through a macro, it would be possible to eliminate such a possible conflict.

The STARTPROC: and ENDPROC: macros are simple to implement. They are:

```
MACRO:(STARTPROC:,$1: CONTINUE)
MACRO:(ENDPROC:,GOTO J $1: [XMACRO:([$1:])])
```

The invocation:

```
STARTPROC:(PROC)
```

generates:

```
1999 CONTINUE
```

while the invocation:

```
ENDPROC:(PROC)
```

produces:

```
GOTO J1999
```

and removes the macro PROC: from the macro tables.

Another use of macros is in the debugging phase of program development. It is quite common practice to insert diagnostic print statements in a program for debugging purposes. When the debugging phase is over, the print statements are removed. Such removal can be time consuming and quite often the print statements need to be reinserted at some later time.

The next example shows how a macro, DEBUG:, can be used to insert diagnostic printouts and subsequently remove them.

Macro DEBUG: may have a maximum of nine arguments (the maximum allowed by the macro processor). The first argument will be an identification string, the second argument will be a format statement, the remaining seven arguments will be program variables to be printed.

For example:

      DEBUG:(LOOP ONE,2F12.2,AVAR,SUM)

will generate:

      WRITE(STDOUT:,1999) AVAR,SUM
  1999 FORMAT(1X,´LOOP ONE´,2F12.2)

where STDOUT: is the standard output unit number. The macro
DEBUG: is quite straightforward. The only problem is
deciding how many arguments are present and distinguishing
the first variable name (which will be inserted without a
leading comma) from subsequent variable names (which will be
inserted with a leading comma). The macro MAKENUM: is used
to generate a unique statement label for the format.

The definition of DEBUG: is:

  MACRO:(DEBUG:,[MAKENUM:(1) FORMAT(1X,"$1",$2)
  WRITE(STDOUT:,SEED:)ARG:($3)CARG:($4)CARG:($5)CARG:($6)$#
  CARG:($7)CARG:($8)CARG:($9)])

The two auxilliary macros ARG: and CARG: are very similar.
They test the argument passed to them for the null value.
If the value is null, then nothing is generated; if it is
not, then an argument (possibly preceded by a comma) is
generated.

The definitions of ARG: and CARG: are:

    MACRO:(ARG:,[IFELSE:($1,,,$1)])
    MACRO:(CARG:,[IFELSE:($1,,,$,$1)])

Note that the digraph $, has been used to stop IFELSE: from
becoming confused in counting arguments. A blank has also
been generated after each variable name. This is to stop
the variable name and the macro name from being concatenated
resulting in non-recognition of the macro name.

After the debugging phase is over, the debug code can
be quite simply eliminated by replacing DEBUG: by a new
DEBUG: macro with the following definition:

        MACRO:(DEBUG:,) # null DEBUG:

The debug statements are never physically removed from the
program and can be reactivated by returning to the full
debug definition, re-preprocessing the needed subroutines,
and recompiling the program.

Two Complete Examples

This primer is concluded with two complete examples. The first is an interpolation sub-program, INTERP. INTERP can be used to interpolate in a sorted table of real numbers. Two methods, a binary search and a sequential search, are provided to locate suitable table values for the interpolation routine. A listing of the RATMAC code, the generated code and a copy of the test output is shown. The example shows how "job-control" lines may be interspersed in the code. In addition, a simple use of "internal procedures" is shown.

The second example is a simple line-oriented file editor with the ability to insert, replace, and delete lines from a file. The line editor is included to illustrate the use of "top-down" design, and "internal procedures" to aid in the design procedure.

Example I: A RATMAC Program
for Interpolation in a Table

```
MACRO:(CTRLSTMT:,[$P@FOR,IS $B $1/$2])   # UNIVAC JCL LINE
MACRO:(SEED:,2000)
MACRO:(MAKENUM:,[MACRO:([SEED:],ARITH:(SEED:,-,$1))SEED:])
MACRO:(IFDEF:,[IFELSE:([$1:],$1:,$3,$2)])
MACRO:(EXECUTE:,[IFDEF:($1,,[[MACRO:([$1:],MAKENUM:(1))]])$#
ASSIGN MAKENUM:(1) TO J $1:; GOTO $1:; SEED: CONTINUE])
MACRO:(STARTPROC:,$1: CONTINUE)
MACRO:(ENDPROC:,GO TO J $1: [XMACRO:([$1:])])
MACRO:(DEBUG:,[MAKENUM:(1) FORMAT(1X,"$1",$2)
WRITE(STDOUT:,SEED:)ARG:($3)CARG:($4)CARG:($5)CARG:($6)$#
CARG:($7)CARG:($8)CARG:($9)])
MACRO:(STDOUT:,6)      # PRINTER UNIT
MACRO:(ARG:,[IFELSE:($(1,,,$1 )])
MACRO:(CARG:,[IFELSE:($1,,,$,$1 )])


CTRLSTMT:(INTERP)
SUBROUTINE INTERP(XIN,YOUT,XTAB,YTAB,MAXTAB,NSRCH,BSRCH,NORDER)
REAL XTAB(MAXTAB),YTAB(MAXTAB)
LOGICAL BSRCH
#
# THIS ROUTINE PERFORMS A NORDER (ASSUMED EVEN) POINT
# INTERPOLATION IN TABLES XTAB/YTAB OF LENGTH MAXTAB
#
# XIN SPECIFIES THE POINT TO BE INTERPOLATED; YOUT IS THE
```

Example I

```
# INTERPOLATED VALUE
# ** NOTE ** XIN MUST LIE WITHIN THE TABLE RANGE
# THE ROUTINE ASSUMES THAT XTAB IS SORTED IN EITHER
# ASCENDING OR DESCENDING ORDER
#
# IF BSRCH IS .TRUE. A BINARY SEARCH IS USED TO LOCATE
# APPROPRIATE VALUES FROM THE TABLES
#
# IF BSRCH IS .FALSE. A LINEAR SEARCH STARTING AT INDEX
# NSRCH IS INITIATED TO LOCATE THE VALUES.  NSRCH IS UPDATED
# DURING THE SEARCH PROCESS
#
# BSRCH = .TRUE. IS APPROPRIATE FOR "RANDOM" INTERPOLATION
# BSRCH = .FALSE. IS APPROPRIATE FOR "PROGRESSIVE"
# INTERPOLATION
#
# LOCAL VARIABLES
#
LOGICAL ASC  # FLAGS SORT MODE OF XTAB
#
# DEFINE A USEFUL LOGICAL CONSTRUCTION
#
MACRO:(LOGTST:,(ASC&XIN<=$1\ !ASC&XIN>=$1))
#
# SET ASC
#
IF(XTAB(1) < XTAB(MAXTAB))
   ASC = .TRUE.  # ASCENDING
ELSE
   ASC = .FALSE.  # DESCENDING
NHALF=NORDER/2
NUP=MAXTAB-NHALF
#
# CLASSIFY INTERPOLATION
#
IF(MAXTAB<=NORDER)  # TWO FEW VALUES - DO BEST WE CAN
   $(
   MP=1; MU=MAXTAB
   $)
ELSE IF(LOGTST:(XTAB(NHALF))) # TOO CLOSE TO LOW END
   $(
   # OF TABLE - ADJUST
   # INTERPOLATION
   MP=1; MU=NORDER
   $)
ELSE IF(!LOGTST:(XTAB(NUP)))  # TOO CLOSE TO TOP END
   # OF TABLE - ADJUST
   # INTERPOLATION
   $(
   MP=MAXTAB+1-NORDER; MU=MAXTAB
   $)
ELSE IF(BSRCH)  # BINARY SEARCH
   $(
   MP=1
   MU=MAXTAB
   REPEAT
```

```
      $(
      K=(MP+MU)/2
      IF(LOGTST:(XTAB(K)))
         MU=K-1
      ELSE
         MP=K+1
      DEBUG:(BINARY,2E12.6$,I4,XIN,XTAB(K),K)
      $)
   UNTIL(MP>MU)
   MP=MU-NHALF+1
   MU=MP+NORDER-1
   $)
ELSE
   $(
   IF(NSRCH<1 \ NSRCH>MAXTAB)   # NSRCH OUT OF RANGE
      NSRCH=(1+MAXTAB/2)   # ADJUST IT
   WHILE(!LOGTST:(XTAB(NSRCH)))
      NSRCH=NSRCH+1   # SEARCH FORWARD
   WHILE(LOGTST:(XTAB(NSRCH-1)))
      NSRCH=NSRCH-1   # SEARCH BACKWARDS
   MP=NSRCH-NHALF
   MU=MP+NORDER-1
   DEBUG:(SEQUENTIAL,2E12.6[,],I4,XIN,XTAB(NSRCH),NSRCH)
   $)
NSRCH=MP+NHALF-1   # UPDATE NSRCH EVEN
# BSRCH = .TRUE.
#
# DO INTERPOLATION
#
YOUT=GRANGE(XIN,MP,MU,XTAB,YTAB)
RETURN
END


CTRLSTMT:(GRANGE)
FUNCTION GRANGE(XIN,MIN,MAX,XTAB,YTAB)
REAL XTAB(1),YTAB(1)
#
# DOES LAGRANGE INTERPOLATION
#
GRANGE=0.0
FOR(I=MIN; I<=MAX; I=I+1)
   $(
   PROD=YTAB(I)
   STO=XTAB(I)
   FOR(J=MIN; J<=MAX; J=J+1)
      IF(I!=J)
         PROD=PROD*(XIN-XTAB(J))/(STO-XTAB(J))
      GRANGE=GRANGE+PROD
   $)
RETURN
END
```

Example I

```
CTRLSTMT:(MAIN)
LOGICAL BS
DIMENSION X(201),Y(201),U(201),W(201)
N=201
NS=0
#
# GENERATE TWO SETS OF TABLES
#
FOR(I=-100; I<=100; I=I+1)
   $(
   X(I+101)=I
   U(I+101)=-I
   Y(I+101)=I**4-I**3
   W(I+101)=-Y(I+101)
   $)
#
# GENERATE TABLE USE BINARY SEARCH
#
M=4   # ORDER OF INTERPOLATION
BS=.TRUE.
EXECUTE:(PRINTABLE)
BS=.FALSE.
EXECUTE:(PRINTABLE)
STOP
STARTPROC:(PRINTABLE)
WRITE(STDOUT:,1) BS
1   FORMAT('1 TEST OF INTERP BINARY SEARCH>',L2)
FOR(I=1; I<=10; I=I+1)
   $(
   XX=I*I
   XX=XX+0.5
   UU=-XX
   YCAL=XX**4-XX**3
   WCAL=-YCAL
   CALL INTERP(XX,YOUT,X,Y,N,NS,BS,M)
   WRITE(STDOUT:,2) I,NS,XX,YOUT,YCAL
   2   FORMAT(1X,2(2X,I3),3(2X,E20.7))
   CALL INTERP(UU,WOUT,U,W,N,NS,BS,M)
   WRITE(STDOUT:,2)I,NS,UU,WOUT,WCAL
   WRITE(STDOUT:,3)
   3   FORMAT(1X)
$)
ENDPROC:(PRINTABLE)
END
```

FORTRAN Code

```
@FOR,IS INTERP/
      SUBROUTINEINTERP(XIN,YOUT,XTAB,YTAB,MAXTAB,NSRCH,BSRCH,NORDER)
      REALXTAB(MAXTAB),YTAB(MAXTAB)
      LOGICALBSRCH
      LOGICALASC
      IF(.NOT.(XTAB(1).LT.XTAB(MAXTAB)))GOTO23000
      ASC=.TRUE.
      GOTO23001
23000 CONTINUE
      ASC=.FALSE.
23001 CONTINUE
      NHALF=NORDER/2
      NUP=MAXTAB-NHALF
      IF(.NOT.(MAXTAB.LE.NORDER))GOTO23002
      MP=1
      MU=MAXTAB
      GOTO23003
23002 CONTINUE
      IF(.NOT.((ASC.AND.XIN.LE.XTAB(NHALF).OR..NOT.ASC.AND.XIN.GE.XT
     *HALF))))GOTO23004
      MP=1
      MU=NORDER
      GOTO23005
23004 CONTINUE
      IF(.NOT.(.NOT.(ASC.AND.XIN.LE.XTAB(NUP).OR..NOT.ASC.AND.XIN.GE
     *B(NUP))))GOTO23006
      MP=MAXTAB+1-NORDER
      MU=MAXTAB
      GOTO23007
23006 CONTINUE
      IF(.NOT.(BSRCH))GOTO23008
      MP=1
      MU=MAXTAB
23010 CONTINUE
      K=(MP+MU)/2
      IF(.NOT.((ASC.AND.XIN.LE.XTAB(K).OR..NOT.ASC.AND.XIN.GE.XTAB(K
     *GOTO23013
      MU=K-1
      GOTO23014
23013 CONTINUE
      MP=K+1
23014 CONTINUE
1999  FORMAT(1X,´BINARY´,2E12.6,I4)
      WRITE(6,1999)XIN,XTAB(K),K
23011 IF(.NOT.(MP.GT.MU))GOTO23010
23012 CONTINUE
      MP=MU-NHALF+1
      MU=MP+NORDER-1
      GOTO23009
23008 CONTINUE
      IF(.NOT.(NSRCH.LT.1.OR.NSRCH.GT.MAXTAB))GOTO23015
      NSRCH=(1+MAXTAB/2)
```

Example I


```
      23015 CONTINUE
      23017 IF(.NOT.(.NOT.(ASC.AND.XIN.LE.XTAB(NSRCH).OR..NOT.ASC.AND.XIN.
           *TAB(NSRCH)))))GOTO23018
            NSRCH=NSRCH+1
            GOTO23017
      23018 CONTINUE
      23019 IF(.NOT.((ASC.AND.XIN.LE.XTAB(NSRCH-1).OR..NOT.ASC.AND.XIN.GE.
           *(NSRCH-1))))GOTO23020
            NSRCH=NSRCH-1
            GOTO23019
      23020 CONTINUE
            MP=NSRCH-NHALF
            MU=MP+NORDER-1
      1998  FORMAT(1X,´SEQUENTIAL´,2E12.6,I4)
            WRITE(6,1998)XIN,XTAB(NSRCH),NSRCH
      23009 CONTINUE
      23007 CONTINUE
      23005 CONTINUE
      23003 CONTINUE
            NSRCH=MP+NHALF-1
            YOUT=GRANGE(XIN,MP,MU,XTAB,YTAB)
            RETURN
            END


      @FOR,IS GRANGE/
            FUNCTIONGRANGE(XIN,MIN,MAX,XTAB,YTAB)
            REALXTAB(1),YTAB(1)
            GRANGE=0.0
            I=MIN
      23021 IF(.NOT.(I.LE.MAX))GOTO23023
            PROD=YTAB(I)
            STO=XTAB(I)
            J=MIN
      23024 IF(.NOT.(J.LE.MAX))GOTO23026
            IF(.NOT.(I.NE.J))GOTO23027
            PROD=PROD*(XIN-XTAB(J))/(STO-XTAB(J))
      23027 CONTINUE
      23025 J=J+1
            GOTO23024
      23026 CONTINUE
            GRANGE=GRANGE+PROD
      23022 I=I+1
            GOTO23021
      23023 CONTINUE
            RETURN
            END
```

```
@FOR,IS MAIN/
      LOGICALBS
      DIMENSIONX(201),Y(201),U(201),W(201)
      N=201
      NS=0
      I=-100
23029 IF(.NOT.(I.LE.100))GOTO23031
      X(I+101)=I
      U(I+101)=-I
      Y(I+101)=I**4-I**3
      W(I+101)=-Y(I+101)
23030 I=I+1
      GOTO23029
23031 CONTINUE
      M=4
      BS=.TRUE.
      ASSIGN1996TOJ1997
      GOTO1997
1996  CONTINUE
      BS=.FALSE.
      ASSIGN1995TOJ1997
      GOTO1997
1995  CONTINUE
      STOP
1997  CONTINUE
      WRITE(6,1)BS
1     FORMAT('1 TEST OF INTERP BINARY SEARCH>',L2)
      I=1
23032 IF(.NOT.(I.LE.10))GOTO23034
      XX=I*I
      XX=XX+0.5
      UU=-XX
      YCAL=XX**4-XX**3
      WCAL=-YCAL
      CALLINTERP(XX,YOUT,X,Y,N,NS,BS,M)
      WRITE(6,2)I,NS,XX,YOUT,YCAL
2     FORMAT(1X,2(2X,I3),3(2X,E20.7))
      CALLINTERP(UU,WOUT,U,W,N,NS,BS,M)
      WRITE(6,2)I,NS,UU,WOUT,WCAL
      WRITE(6,3)
3     FORMAT(1X)
23033 I=I+1
      GOTO23032
23034 CONTINUE
      GOTOJ1997
      END
```

Example I

Results of the Calculation

```
@XQT
TEST OF INTERP BINARY SEARCH> T
BINARY    .150000+01   .000000     101
BINARY    .150000+01   .500000+02  151
BINARY    .150000+01   .250000+02  126
BINARY    .150000+01   .120000+02  113
BINARY    .150000+01   .600000+01  107
BINARY    .150000+01   .300000+01  104
BINARY    .150000+01   .100000+01  102
BINARY    .150000+01   .200000+01  103
    1   102              .1500000+01            .1125000+01           .1687500+01
BINARY -.150000+01   .000000     101
BINARY -.150000+01  -.500000+02  151
BINARY -.150000+01  -.250000+02  126
BINARY -.150000+01  -.120000+02  113
BINARY -.150000+01  -.600000+01  107
BINARY -.150000+01  -.300000+01  104
BINARY -.150000+01  -.100000+01  102
BINARY -.150000+01  -.200000+01  103
    1   102             -.1500000+01           -.1125000+01          -.1687500+01

BINARY    .450000+01   .000000     101
BINARY    .450000+01   .500000+02  151
BINARY    .450000+01   .250000+02  126
BINARY    .450000+01   .120000+02  113
BINARY    .450000+01   .600000+01  107
BINARY    .450000+01   .300000+01  104
BINARY    .450000+01   .400000+01  105
BINARY    .450000+01   .500000+01  106
    2   105              .4500000+01            .3183750+03           .3189375+03
BINARY -.450000+01   .000000     101
BINARY -.450000+01  -.500000+02  151
BINARY -.450000+01  -.250000+02  126
BINARY -.450000+01  -.120000+02  113
```

```
BINARY -.450000+01 -.600000+01 107
BINARY -.450000+01 -.300000+01 104
BINARY -.450000+01 -.400000+01 105
BINARY -.450000+01 -.500000+01 106
   2  105            -.4500000+01              -.3183750+03            -.3189375+03

BINARY  .950000+01  .000000    101
BINARY  .950000+01  .500000+02 151
BINARY  .950000+01  .250000+02 126
BINARY  .950000+01  .120000+02 113
BINARY  .950000+01  .600000+01 107
BINARY  .950000+01  .900000+01 110
BINARY  .950000+01  .100000+02 111
   3  110             .9500000+01               .7287125+04             .7287687+04
BINARY -.950000+01  .000000    101
BINARY -.950000+01 -.500000+02 151
BINARY -.950000+01 -.250000+02 126
BINARY -.950000+01 -.120000+02 113
BINARY -.950000+01 -.600000+01 107
BINARY -.950000+01 -.900000+01 110
BINARY -.950000+01 -.100000+02 111
   3  110            -.9500000+01              -.7287125+04            -.7287687+04

BINARY  .165000+02  .000000    101
BINARY  .165000+02  .500000+02 151
BINARY  .165000+02  .250000+02 126
BINARY  .165000+02  .120000+02 113
BINARY  .165000+02  .180000+02 119
BINARY  .165000+02  .150000+02 116
BINARY  .165000+02  .160000+02 117
BINARY  .165000+02  .170000+02 118
   4  117             .1650000+02               .6962737+05             .6962794+05
BINARY -.165000+02  .000000    101
BINARY -.165000+02 -.500000+02 151
BINARY -.165000+02 -.250000+02 126
BINARY -.165000+02 -.120000+02 113
BINARY -.165000+02 -.180000+02 119
```

Example I

```
BINARY -.165000+02 -.150000+02 116
BINARY -.165000+02 -.160000+02 117
BINARY -.165000+02 -.170000+02 118
   4   117          -.1650000+02           -.6962737+05           -.6962794+05

BINARY  .255000+02  .000000    101
BINARY  .255000+02  .500000+02 151
BINARY  .255000+02  .250000+02 126
BINARY  .255000+02  .370000+02 138
BINARY  .255000+02  .310000+02 132
BINARY  .255000+02  .280000+02 129
BINARY  .255000+02  .260000+02 127
   5   126           .2550000+02            .4062431+06            .4062437+06
BINARY -.255000+02  .000000    101
BINARY -.255000+02 -.500000+02 151
BINARY -.255000+02 -.250000+02 126
BINARY -.255000+02 -.370000+02 138
BINARY -.255000+02 -.310000+02 132
BINARY -.255000+02 -.280000+02 129
BINARY -.255000+02 -.260000+02 127
   5   126          -.2550000+02           -.4062431+06           -.4062437+06

BINARY  .365000+02  .000000    101
BINARY  .365000+02  .500000+02 151
BINARY  .365000+02  .250000+02 126
BINARY  .365000+02  .370000+02 138
BINARY  .365000+02  .310000+02 132
BINARY  .365000+02  .340000+02 135
BINARY  .365000+02  .350000+02 136
BINARY  .365000+02  .360000+02 137
   6   137           .3650000+02            .1726262+07            .1726263+07
BINARY -.365000+02  .000000    101
BINARY -.365000+02 -.500000+02 151
BINARY -.365000+02 -.250000+02 126
BINARY -.365000+02 -.370000+02 138
BINARY -.365000+02 -.310000+02 132
```

```
BINARY -.365000+02 -.340000+02 135
BINARY -.365000+02 -.350000+02 136
BINARY -.365000+02 -.360000+02 137
   6   137              -.3650000+02          -.1726262+07          -.1726263+07

BINARY  .495000+02  .000000     101
BINARY  .495000+02  .500000+02 151
BINARY  .495000+02  .250000+02 126
BINARY  .495000+02  .370000+02 138
BINARY  .495000+02  .430000+02 144
BINARY  .495000+02  .460000+02 147
BINARY  .495000+02  .480000+02 149
BINARY  .495000+02  .490000+02 150
   7   150               .4950000+02           .5882437+07           .5882438+07
BINARY -.495000+02  .000000     101
BINARY -.495000+02 -.500000+02 151
BINARY -.495000+02 -.250000+02 126
BINARY -.495000+02 -.370000+02 138
BINARY -.495000+02 -.430000+02 144
BINARY -.495000+02 -.460000+02 147
BINARY -.495000+02 -.480000+02 149
BINARY -.495000+02 -.490000+02 150
   7   150              -.4950000+02          -.5882437+07          -.5882438+07

BINARY  .645000+02  .000000     101
BINARY  .645000+02  .500000+02 151
BINARY  .645000+02  .750000+02 176
BINARY  .645000+02  .620000+02 163
BINARY  .645000+02  .680000+02 169
BINARY  .645000+02  .650000+02 166
BINARY  .645000+02  .630000+02 164
BINARY  .645000+02  .640000+02 165
   8   165               .6450000+02           .1703934+08           .1703934+08
BINARY -.645000+02  .000000     101
BINARY -.645000+02 -.500000+02 151
BINARY -.645000+02 -.750000+02 176
BINARY -.645000+02 -.620000+02 163
```

Example I

```
BINARY -.645000+02 -.680000+02 169
BINARY -.645000+02 -.650000+02 166
BINARY -.645000+02 -.630000+02 164
BINARY -.645000+02 -.640000+02 165
   8   165            -.6450000+02        -.1703934+08        -.1703934+08

BINARY  .815000+02  .000000    101
BINARY  .815000+02  .500000+02 151
BINARY  .815000+02  .750000+02 176
BINARY  .815000+02  .880000+02 189
BINARY  .815000+02  .810000+02 182
BINARY  .815000+02  .840000+02 185
BINARY  .815000+02  .820000+02 183
   9   182             .8150000+02         .4357814+08         .4357814+08
BINARY -.815000+02  .000000    101
BINARY -.815000+02 -.500000+02 151
BINARY -.815000+02 -.750000+02 176
BINARY -.815000+02 -.880000+02 189
BINARY -.815000+02 -.810000+02 182
BINARY -.815000+02 -.840000+02 185
BINARY -.815000+02 -.820000+02 183
   9   182            -.8150000+02        -.4357814+08        -.4357814+08

  10   199             .1005000+03         .1010000+09         .1010000+09
  10   199            -.1005000+03        -.1010000+09        -.1010000+09

TEST OF INTERP BINARY SEARCH> F
SEQUENTIAL  .150000+01  .200000+01 103
   1   102             .1500000+01         .1125000+01         .1687500+01
SEQUENTIAL -.150000+01 -.200000+01 103
   1   102            -.1500000+01        -.1125000+01        -.1687500+01

SEQUENTIAL  .450000+01  .500000+01 106
   2   105             .4500000+01         .3183750+03         .3189375+03
SEQUENTIAL -.450000+01 -.500000+01 106
   2   105            -.4500000+01        -.3183750+03        -.3189375+03
```

```
SEQUENTIAL  .950000+01  .100000+02 111
   3  110              .9500000+01              .7287125+04              .7287687+04
SEQUENTIAL -.950000+01 -.100000+02 111
   3  110             -.9500000+01             -.7287125+04             -.7287687+04

SEQUENTIAL  .165000+02  .170000+02 118
   4  117              .1650000+02              .6962737+05              .6962794+05
SEQUENTIAL -.165000+02 -.170000+02 118
   4  117             -.1650000+02             -.6962737+05             -.6962794+05

SEQUENTIAL  .255000+02  .260000+02 127
   5  126              .2550000+02              .4062431+06              .4062437+06
SEQUENTIAL -.255000+02 -.260000+02 127
   5  126             -.2550000+02             -.4062431+06             -.4062437+06

SEQUENTIAL  .365000+02  .370000+02 138
   6  137              .3650000+02              .1726262+07              .1726263+07
SEQUENTIAL -.365000+02 -.370000+02 138
   6  137             -.3650000+02             -.1726262+07             -.1726263+07

SEQUENTIAL  .495000+02  .500000+02 151
   7  150              .4950000+02              .5882437+07              .5882438+07
SEQUENTIAL -.495000+02 -.500000+02 151
   7  150             -.4950000+02             -.5882437+07             -.5882438+07

SEQUENTIAL  .645000+02  .650000+02 166
   8  165              .6450000+02              .1703934+08              .1703934+08
SEQUENTIAL -.645000+02 -.650000+02 166
   8  165             -.6450000+02             -.1703934+08             -.1703934+08

SEQUENTIAL  .815000+02  .820000+02 183
   9  182              .8150000+02              .4357814+08              .4357814+08
SEQUENTIAL -.815000+02 -.820000+02 183
   9  182             -.8150000+02             -.4357814+08             -.4357814+08

  10  199              .1005000+03              .1010000+09              .1010000+09
  10  199             -.1005000+03             -.1010000+09             -.1010000+09
NORMAL EXIT.    EXECUTION TIME:   330 MILLISECONDS.
```

Example II: A RATMAC Program
to Implement a Simple File Editor

The file editor is designed to copy an input file to an
output file. During the copy process, the file is modified
by commands entered via a standard input unit, STDIN:. A
command has the general format:

*X,<initial line>,<final line>

The asterisk must be the first character on the input line.
X is a single letter from the set I(insertion),
R(replacement), D(deletion), and E(end of commands).
<initial line> and <final line> are integer line numbers
used to identify the lines of the input file to be
modified. A typical modification stream might be:


```
*I,17                 insert after line 17
this text
and this text
and this text
**                    this line terminates the insert text
*R,54,57              replaces lines 54 through 57
with this text
**
*D,59                 delete a single line
*D,65,102             delete a group of lines
```


Using procedures and top down design, the program has four
"levels". The top level is the procedure MAIN which in nine
lines defines the control flow of the editing process. It
pushes down to a lower level decisions on how instructions
are read and decoded, or how the files are read or written.
The second level contains the procedures
INTERPRET_INSTRUCTION, READ_INSTRUCTION, and COPY_REST,
which also put off to a lower level decisions on how files
are read and written. The third level contains some utility
procedures, while the fourth level, CTOI, END_OF_FILE,
WRITE_LINE, READ_LINE, PUT_LINE, and GET_LINE contain the
"primitives" that interface with the I/O system of the
computer.

Each procedure is short and has a single function which
is easy to understand.

RATMAC Code for the Line Editor

```
#
#       A SIMPLE LINE ORIENTED EDITOR
#
#       COMMANDS
#
#       *I,M        INSERT FOLLOWING TEXT AFTER MTH LINE
#       *D,M,N      DELETE LINES M TO N
#       *R,M,N      REPLACE LINES M TO N BY FOLLOWING TEXT
#       **          TERMINATE INSERTION OR REPLACEMENT TEXT
#       *E          END EDITING PROCESS
#
#       *I,2
#         INSERTED LINE
#       **
#       *R,7,10
#         NEW REPLACEMENT LINE
#       **
#       *D,20,30
#       *E
#
MACRO:(CTRLSTMT:,$P@[FOR,IS]$B$1/$2)


CTRLSTMT:(EDITOR,FOR)
MACRO:(STDIN:,5)   #   STANDARD INPUT
MACRO:(STDOUT:,6)  #   STANDARD OUTPUT
#
# IF AN ARGUMENT IS PRESENT
# GENERATE, ARGUMENT
#
MACRO:(CARG:,[IFELSE:($1,,,$,$1 )])
#
# IF AN ARGUMENT IS PRESENT
# GENERATE ARGUMENT
#
MACRO:(ARG:,[IFELSE:($1,,,$1 )])
#
#       THE MACRO DEBUG: IS A GENERAL PURPOSE DEBUGGING MACRO
#
#       ARGUMENT 1 IS AN IDENTIFICATION MESSAGE
#       ARGUMENT 2 IS A FORMAT
#
#       ARGUMENTS 3 THRU 9 ARE VARIABLES TO BE DUMPED
#
MACRO:(DEBUG:,[MAKENUM:(1) FORMAT(1X,"$1",$2)
WRITE(STDOUT:,SEED:)ARG:($3)CARG:($4)CARG:($5)CARG:($6)$#
CARG:($7)CARG:($8)CARG:($9)])
#
# PROCEDURE EXECUTION MACRO
#
MACRO:(EXECUTE:,[IFDEF:($1,,[[MACRO:([$1:],MAKENUM:(1))]])$#
ASSIGN MAKENUM:(1) TO J $1:; GOTO $1:; SEED: CONTINUE])
```

Example II

```
#
# DEFINE START OF
# PROCEDURE
#
MACRO:(STARTPROC:,$1: CONTINUE)
#
# DEFINE THE END OF A
# PROCEDURE
#
MACRO:(ENDPROC:,GOTO J $1: [XMACRO:([$1:])])
#
# EXAMINES IF
# A MACRO IS
# DEFINED
#
MACRO:(IFDEF:,[IFELSE:([$1:],$1:,$3,$2)])
#
#
#
MACRO:(SEED:,2000)
MACRO:(MAKENUM:,[MACRO:([SEED:],ARITH:(SEED:,-,$1))SEED:])
MACRO:(MESSAGE:,[WRITE($1,MAKENUM:(1))
SEED: FORMAT(1X,"$2")])
MACRO:(CHARACTER:,INTEGER) # MAP CHARACTER ONTO INTEGER
MACRO:(GETVALCHAR:,FLD(0,6,$1))    #  UNIVAC SPECIFIC
MACRO:(HUGE:,100000) # A HUGE NUMBER OF LINES TO END FILE
MACRO:(IN:,9) # EDITOR INPUT FILE
MACRO:(OUT:,10) # EDITOR OUTPUT FILE
MACRO:(MAXCARD:,80) # CHARACTERS ON A CARD
#
CHARACTER: INSTR(MAXCARD:), NCL(MAXCARD:)
#
LOGICAL EOFSTI
LOGICAL NOEND
LOGICAL EOFIN
DATA LNO/0/ # INITIAL LINE NUMBER
DATA EOFSTI,NOEND,EOFIN/.FALSE.,.TRUE.,.FALSE./
#
# PROGRAM DRIVER
#
EXECUTE:(MAIN)
#
# - MAIN EDITOR PROCEDURE -
#
STARTPROC:(MAIN)
EXECUTE:(GET_LINE)
EXECUTE:(READ_INSTRUCTION)
REPEAT
   $(
   EXECUTE:(INTERPRET_INSTRUCTION)
EXECUTE:(WRITE_LINE)
EXECUTE:(READ_INSTRUCTION)
$)
STOP
ENDPROC:(MAIN)
```

```
#
#
# - PROCEDURE TO EXECUTE AN INSTRUCTION -
#
STARTPROC:(INTERPRET_INSTRUCTION)
EXECUTE:(COPY)   # GET TO FIRST LINE
IF(KEY==´I´)
   $(
   EXECUTE:(PUT_LINE)
   EXECUTE:(INSERT)
   $)
ELSE IF (KEY==´R´)
   $(
   EXECUTE:(INSERT)
   EXECUTE:(SKIP)
   $)
ELSE IF (KEY==´D´)
   $(
   EXECUTE:(SKIP)
   $)
ELSE IF (KEY==´E´)
   $(
   EXECUTE:(COPY_REST)
   $)
   ELSE
   $(
   MESSAGE:(STDOUT:,ERROR IN INSTRUCTION)
   EXECUTE:(COPY_REST)
   $)
ENDPROC:(INTERPRET_INSTRUCTION)
#
#
# - PROCEDURE TO READ AN INSTRUCTION AND DECODE IT -
#
STARTPROC:(READ_INSTRUCTION)
#
# READS A LINE FROM STARDARD INPUT AND INTERPRETS INSTRUCTION
#
READ(STDIN:,1,END=2) (INSTR(I),I=1,80)
1 FORMAT(80A1)
GO TO 3
2 INSTR(1)=´*´
INSTR(2)=´E´
INSTR(3)=´ ´
3 CONTINUE
KEY=INSTR(2)
IPT=3
IF(INSTR(IPT)==´,´)
   $(
   IPT=IPT+1
   EXECUTE:(CTOI)
   M=NUMBER
   $)
ELSE
   M=LNO
IF(INSTR(IPT)==´,´)
```

Example II

```
   $(
   IPT=IPT+1
   EXECUTE:(CTOI)
   N=NUMBER
   $)
ELSE
   N=M
DEBUG:(INSTRUCTION,1(A1,3I4),INSTR(2),LNO,M,N)
ENDPROC:(READ_INSTRUCTION)
#
#
# - PROCEDURE TO INSERT A BLOCK OF TEXT IN FILE FROM STDIN:
#
STARTPROC:(INSERT)
#
# READS LINES FROM STDIN: AND PUTS THEM IN A FILE
#
# TERMINATES WHEN 'NOEND' BECOMES FALSE
#
DEBUG:( START INSERT ,3(2X,I4),LNO,M,N)
NOEND=.TRUE.
EXECUTE:(READ_LINE)
WHILE (NOEND)
   $(
   EXECUTE:(PUT_LINE)
   EXECUTE:(READ_LINE)
   $)
EXECUTE:(GET_LINE)
DEBUG:( END INSERT ,3(2X,I4),LNO,M,N)
ENDPROC:(INSERT)
#
#
# - PROCEDURE TO COPY REMAINDER OF FILE TO OUTPUT FILE -
#
STARTPROC:(COPY_REST)
#
# COPIES REST OF INPUT FILE TO OUTPUT FILE
#
DEBUG:( COPY_REST ,3(2X,I4),LNO,M,N)
M=HUGE:   # SET LAST LINE TO A HUGE NUMBER
EXECUTE:(COPY)
EXECUTE:(END_OF_FILE)
DEBUG:( END COPY_REST ,3(2X,I4),LNO,M,N)
STOP
ENDPROC:(COPY_REST)
#
#
# - PROCEDURE TO COPY A BLOCK OF LINES FROM FILE TO OUTPUT F
#
STARTPROC:(COPY)
#
# COPIES FROM INPUT FILE TO OUTPUT FILE
#
DEBUG:(START COPY,3I4,LNO,M,N)
WHILE(LNO<M)
   $(
```

```
      EXECUTE:(PUT_LINE)
      EXECUTE:(GET_LINE)
      $)
DEBUG:(END COPY,3I4,LNO,M,N)
ENDPROC:(COPY)
#
#
# - PROCEDURE TO SKIP A BLOCK OF LINES ON THE INPUT FILE -
#
STARTPROC:(SKIP)
#
# SKIPS LINES ON INPUT FILE
#
DEBUG:(START SKIP,3I4,LNO,M,N)
WHILE(LNO<=N)
   $(
   EXECUTE:(GET_LINE)
   $)
DEBUG:(END SKIP,3I4,LNO,M,N)
ENDPROC:(SKIP)
#
#
# - PROCEDURE TO TRANSLATE A CHARACTER STRING TO AN INTEGER
#
STARTPROC:(CTOI)
WHILE(INSTR(IPT)==´ ´)
   IPT=IPT+1
NUMBER=0
DEBUG:(CTOI START,1(A1,I4),INSTR(IPT),IPT)
REPEAT $(
   K=FLD(0,6,INSTR(IPT))-FLD(0,6,´0´)
   IF(K<0 \ K>9)
      BREAK
   IPT=IPT+1
   NUMBER=10*NUMBER+K
   $)
DEBUG:(END CTOI,2I4,NUMBER,IPT)
ENDPROC:(CTOI)
#
#
# - PROCEDURE TO READ A REPLACEMENT LINE FROM STDIN: -
#
STARTPROC:(READ_LINE)
#
# READS A LINE FROM STDIN
#
IF(!EOFSTI)
   $(
   READ(STDIN:,1,END=12) (NCL(I),I=1,80)
   GO TO 13
   12 EOFSTI=.TRUE.
   13 CONTINUE
   $)
IF(NCL(1)==´*´ & NCL(2)==´*´)
   NOEND=.FALSE.
DEBUG:( READ_LINE ,80A1,(NCL(I),I=1,80))
```

Example II

```
ENDPROC:(READ_LINE)
#
#
# - PROCEDURE TO WRITE THE CURRENT FILE LINE TO STDOUT: -
#
STARTPROC:(WRITE_LINE)
DEBUG:( WRITE_LINE ,3(2X,I4),LNO,M,N)
WRITE(STDOUT:,14)   LNO,(NCL(I),I=1,80)
14 FORMAT(' CURRENT LINE ',I8,1X,80A1)
ENDPROC:(WRITE_LINE)
#
#
# - PROCEDURE TO WRITE AN EOF ON THE OUTPUT FILE -
#
STARTPROC:(END_OF_FILE)
ENDFILE OUT:
REWIND OUT:
DEBUG:(END OF FILE,I4,LNO)
ENDPROC:(END_OF_FILE)
#
#
# - PROCEDURE TO GET A LINE FROM THE INPUT FILE -
#
STARTPROC:(GET_LINE)
#
# GETS A LINE FROM FILE IN: - STORED IN NCL
#
LNO=LNO+1
IF(!EOFIN)
   $(
   READ(IN:,1,END=10)  (NCL(I),I=1,80)
   GO TO 11
   10 EOFIN=.TRUE.
   LNO=HUGE:+1
   11 CONTINUE
   $)
DEBUG:( FILE IN ,L2$,I4$,80A1,EOFIN,LNO,(NCL(I),I=1,80))
ENDPROC:(GET_LINE)
#
#
# - PROCEDURE TO PUT A LINE INTO THE OUTPUT FILE -
#
STARTPROC:(PUT_LINE)
#
# PUTS NCL INTO FILE OUT:
#
DEBUG:( FILE OUT ,80A1,(NCL(I),I=1,80))
WRITE(OUT:,1)(NCL(I),I=1,80)
ENDPROC:(PUT_LINE)
END
```

FORTRAN Code

```
@FOR,IS EDITOR/FOR
       INTEGERINSTR(80),NCL(80)
       LOGICALEOFSTI
       LOGICALNOEND
       LOGICALEOFIN
       DATALNO/0/
       DATAEOFSTI,NOEND,EOFIN/.FALSE.,.TRUE.,.FALSE./
       ASSIGN1998TOJ1999
       GOTO1999
1998   CONTINUE
1999   CONTINUE
       ASSIGN1996TOJ1997
       GOTO1997
1996   CONTINUE
       ASSIGN1994TOJ1995
       GOTO1995
1994   CONTINUE
23000  CONTINUE
       ASSIGN1992TOJ1993
       GOTO1993
1992   CONTINUE
       ASSIGN1990TOJ1991
       GOTO1991
1990   CONTINUE
       ASSIGN1989TOJ1995
       GOTO1995
1989   CONTINUE
23001  GOTO23000
23002  CONTINUE
*DIAGNOSTIC*   CONTROL CAN NEVER REACH THE NEXT STATEMENT
       STOP
*DIAGNOSTIC*   CONTROL CAN NEVER REACH THE NEXT STATEMENT
       GOTOJ1999
1993   CONTINUE
       ASSIGN1987TOJ1988
       GOTO1988
1987   CONTINUE
       IF(.NOT.(KEY.EQ.´I´))GOTO23003
       ASSIGN1985TOJ1986
       GOTO1986
1985   CONTINUE
       ASSIGN1983TOJ1984
       GOTO1984
1983   CONTINUE
       GOTO23004
23003  CONTINUE
       IF(.NOT.(KEY.EQ.´R´))GOTO23005
       ASSIGN1982TOJ1984
       GOTO1984
1982   CONTINUE
       ASSIGN1980TOJ1981
       GOTO1981
1980   CONTINUE
```

Example II

```
          GOTO23006
    23005 CONTINUE
          IF(.NOT.(KEY.EQ.´D´))GOTO23007
          ASSIGN1979TOJ1981
          GOTO1981
     1979 CONTINUE
          GOTO23008
    23007 CONTINUE
          IF(.NOT.(KEY.EQ.´E´))GOTO23009
          ASSIGN1977TOJ1978
          GOTO1978
     1977 CONTINUE
          GOTO23010
    23009 CONTINUE
          WRITE(6,1976)
     1976 FORMAT(1X,´ERROR IN INSTRUCTION´)
          ASSIGN1975TOJ1978
          GOTO1978
     1975 CONTINUE
    23010 CONTINUE
    23008 CONTINUE
    23006 CONTINUE
    23004 CONTINUE
          GOTOJ1993
     1995 CONTINUE
          READ(5,1,END=2)(INSTR(I),I=1,80)
     1    FORMAT(80A1)
          GOTO3
     2    INSTR(1)=´*´
          INSTR(2)=´E´
          INSTR(3)=´ ´
     3    CONTINUE
          KEY=INSTR(2)
          IPT=3
          IF(.NOT.(INSTR(IPT).EQ.´,´))GOTO23011
          IPT=IPT+1
          ASSIGN1973TOJ1974
          GOTO1974
     1973 CONTINUE
          M=NUMBER
          GOTO23012
    23011 CONTINUE
          M=LNO
    23012 CONTINUE
          IF(.NOT.(INSTR(IPT).EQ.´,´))GOTO23013
          IPT=IPT+1
          ASSIGN1972TOJ1974
          GOTO1974
     1972 CONTINUE
          N=NUMBER
          GOTO23014
    23013 CONTINUE
          N=M
    23014 CONTINUE
     1971 FORMAT(1X,´INSTRUCTION´,1(A1,3I4))
          WRITE(6,1971)INSTR(2),LNO,M,N
```

```
        GOTOJ1995
1984    CONTINUE
1970    FORMAT(1X,´ START INSERT ´,3(2X,I4))
        WRITE(6,1970)LNO,M,N
        NOEND=.TRUE.
        ASSIGN1968TOJ1969
        GOTO1969
1968    CONTINUE
23015   IF(.NOT.(NOEND))GOTO23016
        ASSIGN1967TOJ1986
        GOTO1986
1967    CONTINUE
        ASSIGN1966TOJ1969
        GOTO1969
1966    CONTINUE
        GOTO23015
23016   CONTINUE
        ASSIGN1965TOJ1997
        GOTO1997
1965    CONTINUE
1964    FORMAT(1X,´ END INSERT ´,3(2X,I4))
        WRITE(6,1964)LNO,M,N
        GOTOJ1984
1978    CONTINUE
1963    FORMAT(1X,´ COPY_REST ´,3(2X,I4))
        WRITE(6,1963)LNO,M,N
        M=100000
        ASSIGN1962TOJ1988
        GOTO1988
1962    CONTINUE
        ASSIGN1960TOJ1961
        GOTO1961
1960    CONTINUE
1959    FORMAT(1X,´ END COPY_REST ´,3(2X,I4))
        WRITE(6,1959)LNO,M,N
        STOP
*DIAGNOSTIC*   CONTROL CAN NEVER REACH THE NEXT STATEMENT
        GOTOJ1978
1988    CONTINUE
1958    FORMAT(1X,´START COPY´,3I4)
        WRITE(6,1958)LNO,M,N
23017   IF(.NOT.(LNO.LT.M))GOTO23018
        ASSIGN1957TOJ1986
        GOTO1986
1957    CONTINUE
        ASSIGN1956TOJ1997
        GOTO1997
1956    CONTINUE
        GOTO23017
23018   CONTINUE
1955    FORMAT(1X,´END COPY´,3I4)
        WRITE(6,1955)LNO,M,N
        GOTOJ1988
1981    CONTINUE
1954    FORMAT(1X,´START SKIP´,3I4)
        WRITE(6,1954)LNO,M,N
```

Example II

```
23019 IF(.NOT.(LNO.LE.N))GOTO23020
      ASSIGN1953TOJ1997
      GOTO1997
1953  CONTINUE
      GOTO23019
23020 CONTINUE
1952  FORMAT(1X,´END SKIP´,3I4)
      WRITE(6,1952)LNO,M,N
      GOTOJ1981
1974  CONTINUE
23021 IF(.NOT.(INSTR(IPT).EQ.´ ´))GOTO23022
      IPT=IPT+1
      GOTO23021
23022 CONTINUE
      NUMBER=0
1951  FORMAT(1X,´CTOI START´,1(A1,I4))
      WRITE(6,1951)INSTR(IPT),IPT
23023 CONTINUE
      K=FLD(0,6,INSTR(IPT))-FLD(0,6,´0´)
      IF(.NOT.(K.LT.0.OR.K.GT.9))GOTO23026
      GOTO23025
23026 CONTINUE
      IPT=IPT+1
      NUMBER=10*NUMBER+K
23024 GOTO23023
23025 CONTINUE
1950  FORMAT(1X,´END CTOI´,2I4)
      WRITE(6,1950)NUMBER,IPT
      GOTOJ1974
1969  CONTINUE
      IF(.NOT.(.NOT.EOFSTI))GOTO23028
      READ(5,1,END=12)(NCL(I),I=1,80)
      GOTO13
12    EOFSTI=.TRUE.
13    CONTINUE
23028 CONTINUE
      IF(.NOT.(NCL(1).EQ.´*´.AND.NCL(2).EQ.´*´))GOTO23030
      NOEND=.FALSE.
23030 CONTINUE
1949  FORMAT(1X,´ READ LINE ´,80A1)
      WRITE(6,1949)(NCL(I),I=1,80)
      GOTOJ1969
1991  CONTINUE
1948  FORMAT(1X,´ WRITE LINE ´,3(2X,I4))
      WRITE(6,1948)LNO,M,N
      WRITE(6,14)LNO,(NCL(I),I=1,80)
14    FORMAT(´ CURRENT LINE ´,I8,1X,80A1)
      GOTOJ1991
1961  CONTINUE
      ENDFILE10
      REWIND10
1947  FORMAT(1X,´END OF FILE´,I4)
      WRITE(6,1947)LNO
      GOTOJ1961
1997  CONTINUE
      LNO=LNO+1
```

```
        IF(.NOT.(.NOT.EOFIN))GOTO23032
        READ(9,1,END=10)(NCL(I),I=1,80)
        GOTO11
10      EOFIN=.TRUE.
        LNO=100000+1
11      CONTINUE
23032   CONTINUE
1946    FORMAT(1X,´ FILE IN ´,L2,I4,80A1)
        WRITE(6,1946)EOFIN,LNO,(NCL(I),I=1,80)
        GOTOJ1997
1986    CONTINUE
1945    FORMAT(1X,´ FILE OUT ´,80A1)
        WRITE(6,1945)(NCL(I),I=1,80)
        WRITE(10,1)(NCL(I),I=1,80)
        GOTOJ1986
        END
        END OF COMPILATION:          3 DIAGNOSTICS.
```

Input Text

```
THE FOLLOWING TEXT WILL ILLUSTRATE THE COMMANDS
OF THE LINE  EDITOR.  THE END OF THIS LINE SHOULD
HAVE 2 NUMBERED LINES INSERTED AFTER IT.
LINES A AND B SHOULD BE DELETED.
A
B
C
D
LINES X AND Y SHOULD BE REPLACED BY R1 AND R2.
X
Y
Z
```

Edit Text

```
*I,3
1 FIRST INSERTED LINE
2 SECOND INSERTED LINE
**
*D,5,6
*R,10,11
R1
R2
**
*E
```

Example II

<u>Edited Text</u>

THE FOLLOWING TEXT WILL ILLUSTRATE THE COMMANDS
OF THE LINE   EDITOR.   THE END OF THIS LINE SHOULD
HAVE 2 NUMBERED LINES INSERTED AFTER IT.
1 FIRST INSERTED LINE
2 SECOND INSERTED LINE
LINES A AND B SHOULD BE DELETED.
C
D
LINES X AND Y SHOULD BE REPLACED BY R1 AND R2.
R1
R2
Z

RATMAC Error Messages

The general format of an error message is:

```
***** ERROR AT LINE XXX
<ERROR MESSAGE>
<ADDITIONAL DIAGNOSTIC INFORMATION>
```

A trace-back through INCLUDE´d files is also given if appropriate. XXX is a RATMAC line number.

The following table lists the error messages:

MESSAGE	EXPLANATION	FATAL
missing left parenthesis	self-explanatory	no
missing parenthesis in CONDITION	"	no
illegal break	"	no
illegal next	"	no
missing quote	a quote string must be complete on a single input line	no
unexpected brace of EOF	self-explanatory	no
unbalanced parentheses	"	no
invalid FOR clause	"	no
for stack oflo, check reinit clause	probably a missing closing right parenthesis	yes
INCLUDE´s nested to deeply	self-explanatory	no
cannot open INCLUDE	check file availability	no
token too long	self-explanatory	no
name too long	macro name too long	yes
definition too long	macro definition too long	yes

too many definitions	too many macro definitions	no
warning: possible label confilict	user label >=23000	no
illegal ELSE	ELSE but no IF	no
stack overflow in parser	too complicated a control structure in RATFOR	yes
illegal right brace	left brace missing?	no
unexpected EOF	Ratmac unfinished when an end-of-file was found	no
undefined macro	self-explanatory	no
call stack overflow	too many nested macro calls	yes
arg stack overflow	too many and/or too long macro arguments	yes
evaluation stack overflow	not enough space to evaluate a macro	yes
illegal macro name	missing terminating colon	no
XMACRO not found	tried to delete an undefined macro	no
illegal flag	FLAGON: or FLAGOFF: argument must be an upper case letter	no
DEFINE missing left parenthesis	self-explanatory	no
DEFINE non-alphanumeric name	"	no
DEFINE missing comma	"	no
DEFINE missing right parenthesis	"	yes

INDEX

Index cont.